arXiv:math/9906088v1 [math.AG] 13 Jun 1999

MIXED HODGE COMPLEXES ON ALGEBRAIC VARIETIES

Morihiko Saito

RIMS Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502 Japan

The notion of cohomological mixed Hodge complex was introduced by Deligne [4] as a tool to construct the mixed Hodge structure on the cohomology of complex algebraic varieties. This is defined by using logarithmic forms and simplicial resolutions of varieties. It is called cohomological, because its conditions are imposed only after taking the global section functor. Forgetting the rational (or integral) structure and also the weight filtration, a refinement of this notion was obtained by Du Bois [6] (following Deligne's idea). For a complex algebraic variety X and a closed subvariety D of X, he introduced a filtered complex $(\tilde{\Omega}_X^{\bullet}\langle D\rangle, F)$ on X, whose differential is given by differential operators of order at most one. It is well defined in a certain triangulated category, and gives the Hodge filtration of Deligne's mixed Hodge structure on the cohomology of $X\setminus D$ by taking the hypercohomology if X is proper.

On the other hand, the notion of mixed Hodge Module is introduced in [14], [15]. This gives also a mixed Hodge structure of the cohomology of a complex algebraic variety (without using a simplicial resolution). It is generally considered that the theory of mixed Hodge Modules is a generalization of Deligne's mixed Hodge theory. There were, however, some gaps between the two theories.

One is that the theory of mixed Hodge Modules does not work on simplicial schemes, because the direct images and the pull-backs are defined only in the derived categories, and not in the level of complexes. In particular, it was not clear whether the two mixed structures obtained coincide in general (except when the variety is embeddable into a smooth variety). The difficulty comes from the fact that the double complex construction associated with a cosimplicial complex on a simplicial scheme does not work in the derived category, because d^2 is not zero, but only homotopic to zero. Note that this difficulty is not solved in this paper, nor seems to be solved soon. In fact, the problem is avoided in this paper, because we do not have to construct a complex of mixed Hodge Modules on the simplicial scheme in the proof of (0.2).

Another problem is the difference in the systems of weight. In Deligne's theory [4], the weight filtration W on a mixed Hodge complex K is defined so that the weight of $H^i\mathrm{Gr}_k^W K$ is $i+k$. This weight filtration W is called the *standard* weight. On the other hand, the weight of $H^i\mathrm{Gr}_k^{W'} M$ is k for a complex of mixed Hodge structures M (or a mixed Hodge complex in the sense of [1, 3.2]) with the weight filtration W'. This weight filtration W' is called the *absolute* weight. For a complex of mixed Hodge structures, the passage from W' to W is done by taking the convolution of W' and σ (the filtration "bête" in [4]). But

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32S35.

Key words and phrases. mixed Hodge complex, mixed Hodge module, Du Bois singularity.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

this does not work for a mixed Hodge complex in the sense of [1, 3.2]. For the converse we have $W' = \operatorname{Dec} W$ as in [4]. This works for mixed Hodge complexes in the sense of loc. cit. These transformations of weights give certain difficulties for the unification of the two theories (e.g. in the compatibility with the direct images). The main difficulty comes from the fact that the standard weight filtration is not strict, but cannot be avoided in order that the mixed Hodge complexes be stable by the direct images.

In this paper we construct a triangulated category of mixed $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge complexes (resp. mixed $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge $\mathcal{D}$-complexes) on a complex algebraic variety X, which will be denoted by $D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,\mathbb{Q})$ (resp. $D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,\mathbb{Q})_{\mathcal{D}}$), together with a natural functor $\mathrm{DR}_X^{-1} : D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,\mathbb{Q}) \to D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,\mathbb{Q})_{\mathcal{D}}$.

A mixed $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge complex K consists of a filtered differential complex (K_F, F) with a filtration W, and two filtered complexes $(K_{\mathbb{Q}}, W)$, $(K_{\mathbb{C}}, W)$ with $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{C}$-coefficients. They are endowed with two quasi-isomorphisms $\alpha_F : (K_F, W) \to (K_{\mathbb{C}}, W)$, $\alpha_{\mathbb{Q}} : (K_{\mathbb{Q}}, W)\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{C} \to (K_{\mathbb{C}}, W)$, and satisfy certain good properties. See (2.2). A mixed $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge $\mathcal{D}$-complex is defined similarly by replacing the filtered differential complex (K_F, F) with a complex of filtered $\mathcal{D}$-Module $(K_{\mathcal{D}}, F)$. A morphism of mixed $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge complex $u : K \to L$ consists not only of morphisms u_F, $u_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $u_{\mathbb{C}}$ between the components of K, L, but also of *homotopies* u'_F, $u'_{\mathbb{Q}}$, because u_* and α_* commute up to a homotopy, which is given by u'_*. Note that this homotopy does not appear in [1], but is essential for Theorem (0.1) below. We can show that the mixed $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge complexes (or $\mathcal{D}$-complexes) are stable by the direct image under a proper morphism of algebraic varieties in a compatible way with DR^{-1}.

0.1. Theorem. *We have a natural functor ε from $D^b\mathrm{MHM}(X,\mathbb{Q})$ (the derived category of bounded complexes of mixed $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge Modules on X) to $D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,\mathbb{Q})_{\mathcal{D}}$. It commutes with the direct image under a proper morphism. See (2.7-8).*

For D a closed subvariety of X, and $j : X\backslash D \to X$ the inclusion morphism, we construct a mixed $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge complex $\mathcal{C}^H_{X\langle D\rangle}\mathbb{Q}$ whose underlying $\mathbb{Q}$-complex is $\mathbf{R}j_*\mathbb{Q}_{X\backslash D}$ by using a simplicial resolution. See (3.3). Forgetting the weight filtration and the rational structure, it coincides essentially with the Du Bois complex $(\tilde{\Omega}^{\bullet}_X\langle D\rangle, F)$.

0.2. Theorem. *We have a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{DR}_X^{-1}(\mathcal{C}^H_{X\langle D\rangle}\mathbb{Q}) = \varepsilon(j_*\mathbb{Q}^H_{X\backslash D}) \quad \textit{in } D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,\mathbb{Q})_{\mathcal{D}},$$

where $j_*\mathbb{Q}^H_{X\backslash D} \in D^b\mathrm{MHM}(X)$ is as in [15]. See (2.8) below.

A naive idea for the proof of (0.2) would be to construct a complex of mixed Hodge Modules on a simplicial resolution of X. But this is very difficult, and is not enough to show (0.2), because there still remains the comparison between this complex with $\mathcal{C}^H_{X\langle D\rangle}\mathbb{Q}$, which is not trivial at all. Instead, we use a smooth affine stratification of X to get a good representative of $j_*\mathbb{Q}^H_{X\backslash D}$. The corresponding object can be constructed in $D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,\mathbb{Q})_{\mathcal{D}}$, and it is isomorphic to $\mathrm{DR}_X^{-1}(\mathcal{C}^H_{X\langle D\rangle}\mathbb{Q})$.

As a corollary of (0.1) and (0.2), we get the coincidence of the two mixed Hodge structures in [4] and [15]. But what is more important is the relation between $\mathbb{Q}_X^H$ and the Du Bois complex $(\tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet, F)$. Let (M, F) denote the underlying complex of filtered $\mathcal{D}$-Module of $\mathbb{Q}_X^H$. Then $\mathrm{Gr}_p^F\mathrm{DR}_X(M)$ are well-defined in $D^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. See (1.3.4). Let $n = \dim X$, and X' be the union of the n-dimensional irreducible components of X. Let $\tilde{X}' \to X'$ be a resolution of singularities, and $\pi : \tilde{X}' \to X$ its composition with the natural morphism to X. Let $a_\bullet : X_\bullet \to X$ be a simplicial resolution. Then (0.2) implies that $\mathrm{Gr}_p^F\mathrm{DR}_X(M) = 0$ for $p > 0$ or $p < -n$, and

0.3. Corollary. $\mathrm{Gr}_0^F\mathrm{DR}_X(M) = \mathbf{R}(a_\bullet)_*\mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet}$, $\mathrm{Gr}_{-n}^F\mathrm{DR}_X(M) = \pi_*\omega_{\tilde{X}'}[-n]$.

We have $R^i\pi_*\omega_{\tilde{X}'} = 0$ for $i > 0$ by [8], and $\pi_*\omega_{\tilde{X}'}$ is independent of the choice of the desingularization. (See also [10].) Let (M', F) denote the underlying filtered $\mathcal{D}$-Module of the intersection complex $\mathrm{IC}_{X'}\mathbb{Q}^H$. Then we have also $\mathrm{Gr}_{-n}^F\mathrm{DR}_X(M') = \pi_*\omega_{\tilde{X}'}$ by [16]. Note that we cannot prove (0.3) without using (0.2) even if X is embeddable into a smooth variety.

We say that X has (at most) Du Bois singularities, or X is Du Bois for short, if a natural morphism $\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathbf{R}(a_\bullet)_*\mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet}$ is an isomorphism in the derived category. See [20]. Of course, $\mathbb{C}_X \to \mathbf{R}(a_\bullet)_*\mathbb{C}_{X_\bullet}$ is always an isomorphism, but the same does not necessarily hold for $\mathcal{O}$. This isomorphism gives a strong restriction to $\mathcal{O}_X$. (For example, X must be weakly normal. See Remark (i) after (5.2). If $\mathbb{C}_X[\dim X]$ is a perverse sheaf, X must be Cohen-Macaulay. See Remark (ii) after (5.3).)

Let K_X denote the dualizing complex for $\mathcal{O}_X$-Modules, and $(\mathbb{D}M, F)$ the underlying filtered $\mathcal{D}$-Module of $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{Q}_X^H$ (which is the dual of $\mathbb{Q}_X^H$) so that $\mathrm{Gr}_0^F\mathrm{DR}_X(\mathbb{D}M)$ is the dual of $\mathrm{Gr}_0^F\mathrm{DR}_X(M)$ at least locally. Then we have uniquely a morphism in $D^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$

$$\mathrm{Gr}_0^F\mathrm{DR}_X(\mathbb{D}M) \to K_X,$$

whose restriction to the smooth part of X is a natural isomorphism. See (5.3). By (0.3), this morphism is an isomorphism if and only if X is Du Bois. Using this, we can prove that a rational singularity is Du Bois, as announced in Remark (ii) after (2.4) of [18]. This was conjectured, and proved in the isolated singularity case, by Steenbrink [20]. After writing the first version of this paper, I am informed that the assertion is proved by Kollár [11,12.9] in the case where the singularity admits a projective compactification having only rational singularities, and then by Kovács [12] in the general case.

I would like to thank the referee for useful comments.

In §1 we review the theory of filtered differential complexes and filtered $\mathcal{D}$-Modules which are needed in this paper. Then we introduce the notion of mixed Hodge complexes on algebraic varieties, and prove (0.1) in §2. The appendix to §2 gives a review on the theories of compatible filtrations [14] and the realization functor [2], which are necessary for the proof of (0.1). In §3 the notion of geometric complexes is used to define a refinement of the filtered complex of Du Bois. Then we prove (0.2) using a smooth affine stratification in §4. The application to the Du Bois singularity is given in §5.

In this paper a variety means a separated scheme of finite type over a field, and an analytic space means a separated complex analytic space.

1. Filtered Differential Complexes

1.1. Let X be an algebraic variety over a field k of characteristic zero, or a complex analytic space. (In the analytic case, variety will mean analytic space in this section.) We consider filtered $\mathcal{O}_X$-Modules (L, F) such that the filtrations F are increasing and exhaustive, and $F_pL = 0$ for $p \ll 0$. (If the reader prefers, he can assume that $\mathrm{Gr}_p^F L$ are annihilated by the nilpotent ideal of $\mathcal{O}_X$ corresponding to $X_{\mathrm{red}} \subset X$, so that $\mathrm{DR}_X^{-1}(L, F)$, which will be defined in (1.3), satisfies the corresponding condition for filtered $\mathcal{D}$-Modules. This condition is satisfied by mixed Hodge Modules due to [14, 3.2.6].)

For $\mathcal{O}_X$-Modules L, L', we have the notion of differential operator of order $\le n$, which is invariant by the direct image of L, L' under a closed embedding of varieties. See Remark (i) below. The group of differential operators of order $\le n$ are denoted by $\mathrm{Diff}_X^n(L, L')$. If X is smooth, this can be defined by the image of the composition of injective morphisms (see [14, 2.2.2]) :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(L, L' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} F_n\mathcal{D}_X) &\to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{D}_X, L' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{D}_X) \\ &\to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(L, L'), \end{aligned} \tag{1.1.1}$$

where the last morphism is given by the tensor with $\mathcal{O}_X$ over $\mathcal{D}_X$. (Here $\mathcal{D}_X$ is the ring of differential operators on $\mathcal{O}_X$, and has the Hodge filtration F such that $F_n\mathcal{D}_X = \mathrm{Diff}_X^n(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.)

For filtered $\mathcal{O}_X$-Modules (L, F), (L', F) as above, we say that a $\mathbb{C}$-linear morphism of filtered sheaves $u : (L, F) \to (L', F)$ is a filtered differential morphism if the composition

$$F_pL \to L \xrightarrow{u} L' \to L'/F_{p-q-1}L' \tag{1.1.2}$$

is a differential operator of order $\le q$ for any p, q. (In particular, $\mathrm{Gr}^F u : \mathrm{Gr}_p^F L \to \mathrm{Gr}_p^F L'$ is $\mathcal{O}_X$-linear.) The condition implies that if we put $\mathrm{init}(L, F) = \min\{p \in \mathbb{Z} : F_pL \ne 0\}$, then the restriction of u to F_pL is a differential operator of order $\le p - \mathrm{init}(L', F)$. We will denote the group of filtered differential morphisms by $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Diff}}((L, F), (L', F))$. This is invariant by the direct image of (L, F), (L', F) under a closed embedding of varieties.

If X is smooth, we define $\mathrm{DR}_X^{-1}(L, F)$ to be the right $\mathcal{D}$-Module $L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{D}_X$ with the tensor product filtration F, i.e., $F_p = \sum_i F_iL \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} F_{p-i}\mathcal{D}_X$. Then we see that the tensor with $\mathcal{O}_X$ over $\mathcal{D}_X$ induces a bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathrm{DR}_X^{-1}(L, F), \mathrm{DR}_X^{-1}(L', F)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Diff}}((L, F), (L', F)), \tag{1.1.3}$$

where Hom on the left-hand side is taken in the category of filtered right $\mathcal{D}_X$-Modules. In fact, the morphism is injective by the injectivity of (1.1.1), and the condition on (1.1.2) corresponds to that the morphism of $\mathcal{O}_X$-Modules $L \to L' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{D}_X$ preserves the filtration F, because

$$F_p(L' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{D}_X) = \bigcap_q (F_{p-q-1}L' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{D}_X + L' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} F_q\mathcal{D}_X).$$

See [2, (3.1.2.8)]. (As remarked by the referee, we have the inverse morphism of (1.1.3) by identifying $F_p\mathrm{DR}_X^{-1}(L)$ with $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Diff}}((\mathcal{O}_X,F),(L,F[-p]))$ and taking the composition with $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Diff}}((L,F),(L',F))$.) Note that (1.1.3) implies in general that the filtered differential morphisms are stable by composition.

We define $MF(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathrm{Diff})$ to be the category whose objects are filtered $\mathcal{O}_X$-Modules (L,F) as above, and whose morphisms are filtered differential morphisms. In the smooth case, let $MF(\mathcal{D}_X)$ denote the category of filtered right $\mathcal{D}_X$-Modules (M,F) such that the filtration F is exhaustive and $F_pM=0$ for $p\ll 0$. Then, by the bijectivity of (1.1.3), we get a fully faithful functor

$$\mathrm{DR}_X^{-1}: MF(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathrm{Diff})\to MF(\mathcal{D}_X). \tag{1.1.4}$$

Let $CF(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathrm{Diff})$ be the category of complexes (L,F) of $MF(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathrm{Diff})$, where we assume $F_pL=0$ for $p\ll 0$ independently of the complex degree. Then $KF(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathrm{Diff})$ is defined by considering morphisms up to homotopy as in [21]. Since the filtered acyclic objects form a thick subcategory in the sense of loc. cit, we get $DF(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathrm{Diff})$ by inverting filtered quasi-isomorphisms. Similarly we define $C^*F(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathrm{Diff})$, $K^*F(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathrm{Diff})$, $D^*F(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathrm{Diff})$ for $*=+,-,b$ by assuming the corresponding boundedness conditions on the complexes as in loc. cit.

We consider also the category $MF(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathrm{Diff};W)$ whose objects are $\mathcal{O}_X$-Modules having a filtration F as above together with an increasing finite filtration W, and whose morphism are filtered differential morphisms with respect to F, and preserve the filtration W. Then for $*=+,-,b$ or empty, we can define the categories $C^*F(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathrm{Diff};W)$, $K^*F(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathrm{Diff};W)$, $D^*F(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathrm{Diff};W)$ in a similar way. Here W is assumed to be finite uniformly in the complex degree.

We denote by $D^*_{\mathrm{coh}}F(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathrm{Diff};W)$ the subcategory of $D^*F(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathrm{Diff};W)$ consisting of objects $(L,F;W)$ such that $\mathcal{H}^i\mathrm{Gr}_p^F\mathrm{Gr}_k^WL$ are coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-Modules. Forgetting the filtration W, we have similarly $D^*_{\mathrm{coh}}F(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathrm{Diff})$.

Remarks. (i) We have three equivalent definitions of differential operator of order $\le n$. (The third definition is in the algebraic case.)

The first one takes (1.1.1) for definition in the smooth case, and the general case is reduced to this by using locally defined closed embeddings into smooth varieties. The well-definedness follows from the invariance of morphisms of (filtered) $\mathcal{D}$-Modules by the direct image under a closed embedding of smooth varieties. (In fact, if $i:X\to Y$ is a closed embedding of smooth varieties such that X is defined locally by $y_1=0$ with $(y_1,\dots,y_m)$ a local coordinate system of Y, then the direct image of a filtered right $\mathcal{D}_X$-Module (M,F) by i is decomposed by the eigenvalue of the semisimple action of $y_1\partial/\partial y_1$ so that $M=\mathrm{Ker}\,y_1\partial/\partial y_1$.)

The second definition is due to Grothendieck [7, 16.8.1]. Let I_X be the ideal of the diagonal of $X\times X$, and

$$P_X^n=\mathcal{O}_{X\times X}/I_X^{n+1}.$$

Let L, L' be $\mathcal{O}_X$-Modules, and $u:L\to L'$ a $\mathbb{C}$-linear morphism of sheaves. We say that u is a differential operator of order $\le n$ in the sense of Grothendieck if there exists an

$\mathcal{O}_X$-linear morphism

$$u' : P_X^n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L \to L'$$

such that u coincides with the composition of the natural inclusion

$$L \to P_X^n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L \quad (\text{defined by } m \to 1 \otimes m)$$

with u'. Note that u' is uniquely determined by u, using a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(L, L') = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}((\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L, L'),$$

because a natural morphism $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_X \to P_X^n$ is surjective. The last surjectivity can be reduced to the case X smooth, because $P_Y^n \to P_X^n$ is surjective for a closed embedding $X \to Y$. See also Remark after (1.2). The equivalence of the first and the second definitions is reduced to the smooth case (see Remark after (1.2)) and follows for example from [19, (1.20.2)].

The third definition in the algebraic case is as follows. For $\mathcal{O}_X$-Modules L, L', we say that a $\mathbb{C}$-linear morphism of sheaves $u : L \to L'$ is a differential morphism of order $\le n$, if for any local sections $g_0, \dots, g_n$ of $\mathcal{O}_X$, we have

$$\mathrm{ad}\, g_0 \cdots \mathrm{ad}\, g_n(u) = 0, \tag{1.1.5}$$

where $\mathrm{ad}\, g_i(v) = g_i v - v g_i$ for a morphisms of sheaves $v : L \to L'$. (This was used for example in J. Bernstein's talk on algebraic D-Modules at the Luminy conference 1983.) This definition is clearly invariant by the direct image under a closed embedding of varieties, and we can verify the equivalence with the second definition in the smooth case. See for example [19, (1.20)]. (The key point is that $\mathrm{ad}\, g_i$ corresponds to the multiplication by $g_i \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes g_i \in I_X$.) By this definition, the condition on (1.1.2) means that for any local sections $g_1, \dots, g_n$ of $\mathcal{O}_X$, $\mathrm{ad}\, g_1 \cdots \mathrm{ad}\, g_n(u)$ pushes down the filtration F by n (as remarked by the referee). This is also good for the direct image in (1.2), (However the third definition is not so simple in the analytic case, because (1.1.5) is not strong enough, and we have to assume further a condition on the continuity of u in some topology.)

(ii) If $u : L \to L'$ is a differential operator of order $\le n$ and L, L' have a filtration W such that $u(W_i L) \subset W_i L'$, then

$$u : W_i L / W_j L \to W_i L' / W_j L'$$

are differential operators of order $\le n$ for $i > j$. So if $u : (L, F) \to (L', F)$ is a filtered differential morphism preserving a filtration W of $\mathcal{O}_X$-Modules L and L', then

$$u : (W_i L / W_j L, F) \to (W_i L' / W_j L', F)$$

is a filtered differential morphism for $i > j$.

(iii) It is not clear whether $D^* F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff})$ is a full subcategory of $DF(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff})$ for $* = b, +, -$, because the usual truncation $\tau_{\le n}$ does not exist for filtered differential complexes. However, $D^* F^b(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff})$ (which is defined by assuming that the filtration F is finite in the definition of $D^*F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff})$) is a full subcategory of $DF^b(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff})$ and the latter is a full subcategory of $DF(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff})$ due to the functors which associate to (L, F) respectively $((\mathrm{Dec}\, F)_pL, F)$ and (F_pL, F). (Note that the $(\mathrm{Dec}\, F)_pL^i$ are $\mathcal{O}_X$-Modules because $\mathrm{Gr}^F d$ is $\mathcal{O}_X$-linear. We may consider $\mathrm{Dec}\, F$ as the canonical truncation relative to the filtration F.)

1.2. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of algebraic varieties or analytic spaces. Then we have a natural morphism of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-Modules

$$P^n_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} f_*L \to f_*P^n_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} f_*L \to f_*(P^n_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L).$$

So using the second definition of differential operators in Remark (i) after (1.3), we see that the direct image functor f_* for $\mathcal{O}$-Modules induces

$$\begin{gathered} f_* : \mathrm{Diff}^n_X(L, L') \to \mathrm{Diff}^n_Y(f_*L, f_*L'), \\ f_* : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Diff}}((L, F), (L', F)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Diff}}(f_*(L, F), f_*(L', F)), \end{gathered}$$

i.e., differential operators and filtered differential morphisms are stable by direct images. (Here we can also verify this easily using the third definition of differential operators in the algebraic case.) So we get the direct image functor

$$\mathbf{R}f_* : D^+F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}) \to D^+F(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathrm{Diff}). \tag{1.2.1}$$

by using for example the canonical flasque resolution of Godement. This direct image is compatible with the direct image of filtered $\mathcal{D}$-Modules via the functor DR^{-1} in (1.3.2) below. See [14, 2.3.6].

Remark. Let $i : X \to Y$ be a closed embedding. Then the direct image induces natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{gathered} i_* : \mathrm{Diff}^n_X(L, L') \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Diff}^n_Y(i_*L, i_*L'), \\ i_* : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Diff}}((L, F), (L', F)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Diff}}(i_*(L, F), i_*(L', F)). \end{gathered} \tag{1.2.2}$$

This is clear if we take the first definition of differential operators (or the third in the algebraic case) in Remark (i) after (1.1). For the second definition, the argument is as follows. For the first isomorphism, it is enough to show that a natural morphism

$$\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} P^n_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_X \to i_*P^n_X$$

is an isomorphism. Let J, J' denote the defining ideals of X and $X \times X$ in Y and $Y \times Y$ respectively. Since the source of the morphism is isomorphic to the quotient of $\mathcal{O}_{Y\times Y}$ by the ideal generated by $J \otimes 1, 1 \otimes J$ and I^n_Y, it is enough to show the surjectivity of $I_Y \to I_X$. But this follows from the surjectivity of $J' \to J$ using the snake lemma (applied to a morphism of the exact sequence associated with J', J). The second isomorphism follows from the first. (Note that (1.2.2) is used in the proof of the equivalence of the three definitions of differential operators when it is reduced to the smooth case.)

1.3. Let X be as in (1.1). The notion of filtered $\mathcal{D}$-Module is generalized to the case of singular varieties as follows. See also [14, §2]. Let $LE(X)$ denote the category whose objects are closed embeddings $U \to V$ where U are open subsets of X and V are smooth. A morphism of $\{U \to V\}$ to $\{U' \to V'\}$ in $LE(X)$ is a pair of morphisms $U \to U'$ and $V \to V'$ which gives a commutative diagram, where $U \to U'$ is compatible with natural inclusions $U \to X$ and $U' \to X$.

For $\{U \to V\} \in LE(X)$, let $MF(\mathcal{D}_V)_U$ be the category of filtered right $\mathcal{D}_V$-Modules (M, F) such that the filtration F is exhaustive, $F_pM = 0$ for $p \ll 0$, and the $\mathrm{Gr}_p^F M$ are annihilated by the ideal of U in V. (If the reader prefers, he can assume that the $\mathrm{Gr}_p^F M$ are annihilated by the ideal of U_{red} rather than that of U. This condition is satisfied by mixed Hodge Modules.) By definition, a filtered (right) $\mathcal{D}$-Module (M, F) on X consists of $(M_{U\to V}, F) \in MF(\mathcal{D}_V)_U$ for $\{U \to V\} \in LE(X)$ together with isomorphisms

$$v_*(M_{U\to V}, F)|_{V'\setminus(U'\setminus U)} = (M_{U'\to V'}, F)|_{V'\setminus(U'\setminus U)} \tag{1.3.1}$$

for any morphisms (u, v) of $\{U \to V\}$ to $\{U' \to V'\}$, where v_* denotes the direct image as filtered $\mathcal{D}$-Modules (see [14, 2.3.5] and also Remark (iv) below), and (1.3.1) satisfies the natural compatibility condition for the composition of morphisms of $LE(X)$. (Note that the left-hand side of (1.3.1) is a filtered $\mathcal{D}$-Module. See Remark (i) below.) We assume also $F_pM_{U\to V} = 0$ for $p \ll 0$ independently of $\{U \to V\}$.

Let $\mathrm{init}\,(= \mathrm{init}(M, F)) = \min\{p \in \mathbb{Z} : F_pM_{U\to V} \ne 0\}$. Then we see that the $\mathcal{O}_U$-Modules $F_{\mathrm{init}}M_{U\to V}$ are independent of the embedding of U, and defines a well-defined $\mathcal{O}_X$-Module, which is denoted by $F_{\mathrm{init}}M$. (This does not hold if we use left $\mathcal{D}$-Modules.)

The category of filtered $\mathcal{D}$-Modules on X is denoted by $MF(X, \mathcal{D})$, and the category of filtered $\mathcal{D}$-Modules with a finite increasing filtration W by $MF(X, \mathcal{D}; W)$. For $* = +, -, b$ or empty, we denote by $C^*F(X, \mathcal{D})$, $C^*F(X, \mathcal{D}; W)$ the categories of complexes of these objects satisfying the corresponding boundedness condition. Their derived categories are denoted by $D^*F(X, \mathcal{D})$, $D^*F(X, \mathcal{D}; W)$. Here we assume $\min\{\mathrm{init}(M^i, F)\} \ne -\infty$ for a filtered complex (M, F), and W is finite uniformly in the complex degree. We have similarly $M(X, \mathcal{D})$, $D^*(X, \mathcal{D})$, $D^*(X, \mathcal{D}; W)$, forgetting the filtration F, but assuming that any local section of $M_{U\to V}$ is annihilated by a power of the ideal of U (this condition can be replaced with $\mathrm{Supp}\, M_{U\to V} \subset U$ if $M_{U\to V}$ is quasi-coherent).

We will denote by $D^*_{\mathrm{coh}}F(X, \mathcal{D}; W)$ the full subcategory of $D^*F(X, \mathcal{D}; W)$ consisting of coherent complexes (i.e. $\bigoplus_p H^iF_p\mathrm{Gr}_k^W M_{U\to V}$ are coherent over $\bigoplus_p F_p\mathcal{D}_V$), and by $D^*_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X, \mathcal{D}; W)$ its full subcategory consisting of holonomic complexes (i.e., furthermore $H^i\mathrm{Gr}_k^W M_{U\to V}$ are holonomic $\mathcal{D}_V$-Modules). Forgetting the filtration W, we define similarly $D^*_{\mathrm{coh}}F(X, \mathcal{D})$, $D^*_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X, \mathcal{D})$. We have also $M_{\mathrm{hol}}(X, \mathcal{D})$, $D^*_{\mathrm{hol}}(X, \mathcal{D})$, $D^*_{\mathrm{hol}}(X, \mathcal{D}; W)$, etc. as usual, forgetting the filtration F.

We have a functor

$$\mathrm{DR}_X^{-1} : MF(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}) \to MF(\mathcal{D}_X)$$

defined by $(L, F) \to \{(M_{U\to V}, F)\}$ with $(M_{U\to V}, F) = (L, F)|_U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_V} (\mathcal{D}_V, F)$. This induces

$$\mathrm{DR}_X^{-1} : DF(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}) \to DF(\mathcal{D}_X). \tag{1.3.2}$$

Let $\{U_i \to V_i\}_{i\in\Lambda} \in LE(X)$ (indexed by an ordered set Λ) such that $\{U_i\}_{i\in\Lambda}$ is a locally finite covering of X. For $I \subset \Lambda$, let $U_I = \bigcap_{i\in I} U_i, V_I = \prod_{i\in I} V_i$ so that $\{U_I \to V_I\} \in LE(X)$. Let $M \in M(X, \mathcal{D})$, and put

$$K_I = (j_I)_!\mathrm{DR}_{V_I}(M_{U_I\to V_I}) \quad (\text{resp. } (j_I)_!\mathrm{DR}_{V_I}(M_{U_I\to V_I})^{\mathrm{an}})$$

if X is analytic (resp. algebraic). (For DR see Remark (iii) below.) Here $j_I : U_I \to X$ (resp. $j_I : U_I^{\mathrm{an}} \to X^{\mathrm{an}}$) denote natural inclusions.

For $I \supset J$, $\mathrm{DR}_{V_I}(M_{U_I\to V_I})$ has a double complex structure associated with the decomposition $V_I = V_J \times V_{I\setminus J}$. Let $v : V_I \to V_J$ denote the natural projection, and $v_\bullet$ the sheaf-theoretic direct image. Then

$$v_*(M_{U_I\to V_I}, F)|_{V_J\setminus(U_J\setminus U_I)} = v_\bullet\mathrm{DR}_{V_I/V_J}(M_{U_I\to V_I}, F)|_{V_J\setminus(U_J\setminus U_I)}$$

as filtered right $\mathcal{D}$-Modules, and

$$\mathrm{DR}_{V_J}(v_\bullet\mathrm{DR}_{V_I/V_J}(M_{U_I\to V_I}, F)) = v_\bullet\mathrm{DR}_{V_I}(M_{U_I\to V_I}, F).$$

Since we have a filtered quasi-isomorphism

$$v_\bullet\mathrm{DR}_{V_I/V_J}(M_{U_I\to V_I}, F)|_{V_J\setminus(U_J\setminus U_I)} \to (M_{V_J\to V_J}, F)|_{V_J\setminus(U_J\setminus U_I)}$$

by (1.3.1), we get a natural morphism $K_I \to K_J$ which induces a quasi-isomorphism on U_I. So we get a co-Cech complex whose i-th component is $\bigoplus_{|I|=1-i} K_I$, and it is denoted by $\mathrm{DR}_X(M)$. This induces functors

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{DR}_X &: D^*_{\mathrm{hol}}(X,\mathcal{D}) \to D^*_c(X,\mathbb{C}),\\ \mathrm{DR}_X &: M_{\mathrm{hol}}(X,\mathcal{D}) \to \mathrm{Perv}(X,\mathbb{C}),\end{aligned} \tag{1.3.3}$$

where $D^*_c(X,\mathbb{C})$ in the algebraic case denotes the full subcategory of $D^*_c(X^{\mathrm{an}},\mathbb{C})$ consisting of objects with algebraic stratifications, and similarly for $\mathrm{Perv}(X,\mathbb{C})$ (see [2] for $D^*_c(X,\mathbb{C})$, $\mathrm{Perv}(X,\mathbb{C})$ in the analytic case).

We have similarly functors

$$\mathrm{Gr}^F_p\mathrm{DR}_X : D^*_{\mathrm{coh}}F(X,\mathcal{D}) \to D^*_{\mathrm{coh}}(X,\mathcal{O}_X), \tag{1.3.4}$$

and we can verify $\mathrm{Gr}^F_{\mathrm{init}}\mathrm{DR}_X(M) = F_{\mathrm{init}}M$. But we do not have $\mathrm{DR}_X : D^*_{\mathrm{coh}}F(X,\mathcal{D}) \to D^*_{\mathrm{coh}}F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff})$ unless X is smooth.

We can verily that (1.3.3-4) are independent of the choice of the covering $\{U_i \to V_i\}_{i\in\Lambda}$ by using $\{U_i \to V_i\}_{i\in\Lambda''}$ with Λ'' the disjoint union of Λ and Λ' if $\{U_i \to V_i\}_{i\in\Lambda'}$ is another covering of X.

Remarks. (i) The left-hand side of (1.3.1) is a filtered $\mathcal{D}$-Module. In fact, for $x \in U$, we take a minimal embedding of (U, x) into a smooth variety (Z, x) (i.e. $\dim Z$ coincides with the dimension of the Zariski tangent space of U at x). Then $(U, x) \to (V, x)$ is the composition of $(U,x) \to (Z,x)$ with a closed embedding $i_V : (Z,x) \to (V,x)$, and $(M_{U\to V},F)_x = (i_V)_*(M_Z,F)_x$ for a filtered $\mathcal{D}_Z$-Module (M_Z,F). (In fact, if Z is defined by $y_1 = 0$ with $(y_1,\dots,y_m)$ a local coordinate system of (V,x), then the filtration F on $M_{U\to V}$ is stable by the action of $y_1\partial/\partial y_1$, and is compatible with the decomposition of $M_{U\to V}$ by the eigenvalue of the action of $y_1\partial/\partial y_1$.) Since the composition of i_V with v is a closed embedding $i_{V'} : (Z,x) \to (V',x)$, we get the assertion.

(ii) Let $\{U \to V_i\} \in LE(X)$ for $i = 1,2$, and $v : V_1 \to V_2$ a morphism giving a morphism of $LE(X)$ (i.e., it is compatible with $\{U \to V_i\}$). Then the direct image of filtered $\mathcal{D}$-Modules induces an equivalence of categories

$$v_* : MF(\mathcal{D}_V)_U \to MF(\mathcal{D}_{V'})_U.$$

This follows by the same argument as the above Remark (i) by reducing to the case where $(U,x) \to (V_1,x)$ is a minimal embedding.

If there is no morphisms between V_1 and V_2, we can consider $V_3 := V_1 \times V_2$ with the diagonal morphism $U \to V_3$ so that we get morphisms $V_3 \to V_i$ by the projection. So we see for example that if X is embedded into a smooth variety Z, then $MF(X,\mathcal{D})$ is naturally equivalent to $MF(\mathcal{D}_Z)_X$.

(iii) For a right $\mathcal{D}_X$-Module M on a complex manifold X or a smooth algebraic variety X, the de Rham complex $\mathrm{DR}_X(M)$ is defined so that its i-th component is $M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \wedge^{-i}\Theta_X$. If M has a filtration F, $\mathrm{DR}_X(M)$ has the filtration F such that F_p on the i-th component is $F_{p+i}M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \wedge^{-i}\Theta_X$.

(iv) If Y is a complex manifold, or a smooth algebraic variety, $\mathrm{DR}_{X\times Y/Y}$ is defined similarly. We can verify that for a complex of filtered $\mathcal{D}_{X\times Y}$-Modules (M,F), the direct image $p_*(M,F)$ by the projection $p : X \times Y \to Y$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}p_\bullet \mathrm{DR}_{X\times Y/Y}(M,F)$.

If $i : X \to Y$ is a closed embedding of complex manifolds or smooth varieties such that locally $X = \{y_1 = \dots = y_r = 0\}$ by using a local coordinate system $(y_1,\dots,y_m)$, let $\partial_i = \partial/\partial y_i$ for $1 \le i \le r$, $\mathbb{C}[\partial] = \mathbb{C}[\partial_1,\dots,\partial_r]$ and $\partial^\nu = \prod_{1\le i\le r} \partial_i^{\nu_i}$ for $\nu = (\nu_1,\dots,\nu_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$. Then we can show the isomorphism

$$i_*(M,F) = i_\bullet M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[\partial] \quad \text{with } F_j i_* M = \sum_\nu i_\bullet F_{j-|\nu|} M \otimes \partial^\nu.$$

1.4. We review here some properties of Hodge Modules which are needed in this paper. Let A be a subfield of $\mathbb{R}$. We denote by $MF_{\mathrm{hol}}(X,\mathcal{D},A;W)$ the category whose objects are $\mathcal{M} = ((M,F;W),(K,W);\alpha)$, where $(M,F;W)$ is a holonomic filtered $\mathcal{D}$-Module on X with a finite increasing filtration W, (K,W) is an A-perverse sheaf on X with a finite increasing filtration W, and

$$\alpha : \mathrm{DR}_X(M,W) \simeq (K,W) \otimes_A \mathbb{C}$$

is an isomorphism of filtered $\mathbb{C}$-perverse sheaves. Forgetting the filtration W, the category $MF_{\mathrm{hol}}(X,\mathcal{D},A)$ is similarly defined, and we have functors

$$\mathrm{Gr}_k^W : MF_{\mathrm{hol}}(X,\mathcal{D},A;W) \to MF_{\mathrm{hol}}(X,\mathcal{D},A).$$

Sometimes an object of $MF_{\mathrm{hol}}(X,\mathcal{D},A;W)$ will be denoted by $(M,F,K;W)$ (and similarly for (M,F,K)) to simplify the notation.

We denote by $\mathrm{MH}(X,A,n)$ the full subcategory of $MF_{\mathrm{hol}}(X,\mathcal{D},A)$ consisting of polarizable A-Hodge Modules of weight n in the sense of [14, §5], and $\mathrm{MHW}(X,A)$ the full subcategory of $MF_{\mathrm{hol}}(X,\mathcal{D},A;W)$ consisting of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathrm{Gr}_k^W\mathcal{M}\in\mathrm{MH}(X,A,k)$ for any k. This means that the objects of $\mathrm{MHW}(X,A)$ are obtained by extensions of polarizable Hodge Modules, but there is no condition on the extensions. The category $\mathrm{MHM}(X,A)$ of mixed A-Hodge Modules on X is a full subcategory of $\mathrm{MHW}(X,A)$, and its objects satisfy certain good properties. See [15]. We say that $\mathcal{M}\in\mathrm{MHW}(X,A)$ is pure of weight n if $\mathrm{Gr}_k^W\mathcal{M}=0$ for $k\neq n$.

We state here some properties of Hodge Modules used in this paper.

(i) A polarizable A-Hodge Module admits a strict support decomposition

$$(M,F,K)=\bigoplus_Z(M_Z,F,K_Z), \tag{1.4.1}$$

with Z running over the irreducible closed subvarieties of X, where M_Z is a holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-Module on X with strict support Z (i.e. $\operatorname{supp}M_Z=Z$ or $\emptyset$, and M_Z has no nontrivial sub or quotient object whose support has dimension $<\dim Z$), and K_Z is an intersection complex with support Z or $\emptyset$ (in the sense of [2]). See [14, 5.1.6].

(ii) If a polarizable A-Hodge Module of weight n has strict support Z, its restriction to a smooth open subvariety of Z is a polarizable variation of A-Hodge structure of weight $n-\dim Z$. See [14, 5.1.10 and 5.2.12] Conversely, any polarizable variation of A-Hodge structure of weight $n-\dim Z$ on a smooth open subvariety of Z extends uniquely to a polarizable A-Hodge Module of weight n with strict support Z. See [15, 3.21].

(iii) $\mathrm{MHW}(X,A)$ and $\mathrm{MHM}(X,A)$ are abelian categories whose morphisms are strictly compatible with the two filtrations F, W in the sense of [14, 1.1.15] (see also (A.2) below). For polarizable A-Hodge Modules $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{M}'$ of weights n, n' such that $n>n'$, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}')=0, \tag{1.4.2}$$

where Hom is taken in $MF_{\mathrm{hol}}(X,\mathcal{D},A)$.

(iv) Let $f:X\to Y$ be a proper morphism of algebraic varieties, or a projective morphism of analytic spaces, and (M,F,K) a polarizable A-Hodge Module of weight n on X. Then the direct image $f_*(M,F)$ as filtered $\mathcal{D}$-Module is strict, and

$$(\mathcal{H}^if_*(M,F),{}^p\mathcal{H}^if_*K)\in\mathrm{MH}(Y,A,n+i). \tag{1.4.3}$$

Furthermore there exist (noncanonical) isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} f_*(M,F)&\simeq\bigoplus_i(\mathcal{H}^if_*(M,F))[-i]\quad\text{in } D^+F(Y,\mathcal{D}),\\ f_*K&\simeq\bigoplus_i({}^p\mathcal{H}^if_*K)[-i]\quad\text{in } D^+(Y,A)\end{aligned} \tag{1.4.4}$$

compatible with α.

In fact, (1.4.3) follows from the stability of polarizable Hodge Modules under a proper morphism in the algebraic case [15] or a projective morphism in the analytic case [14]. Then (1.4.4) follows from the hard Lefschetz theorem [14] together with [5] in the analytic case. In the algebraic case, we can verify easily the compatibility with the direct image of mixed Hodge Modules in [15], forgetting the filtration W. Then the desired decomposition follows from the decomposition of the direct image of a pure Hodge Module. (It is also possible to reduce the assertion to the projective case by using Chow's lemma together with the above property (ii).)

(v) Let X be a smooth algebraic variety or complex manifold, and D a divisor with normal crossings on X. Put $U = X\backslash D$. Let $(j_*^{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{O}_U, F; W')$ denote the underlying filtered $\mathcal{D}_X$-Module of $j_*A_U^H$, where $j : U \to X$ denotes a natural inclusion. (In the algebraic case, we have $j_*^{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{O}_U = j_*\mathcal{O}_U$.) Then we have a canonical isomorphism in $D^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X, \mathcal{D}; W)$:

$$(j_*^{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{O}_U, F; W) = \mathrm{DR}_X^{-1}(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), F; W)[\dim X], \tag{1.4.5}$$

where $W = W'$ on $j_*^{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{O}_U$ (because $j_*^{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{O}_U$ is a $\mathcal{D}_X$-Module), and the filtrations F, W on the right-hand side is as in [4]. In fact, using [15, 3.11], we can show the bifiltered quasi-isomorphism

$$(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), F; W)[\dim X] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{DR}_X(j_*^{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{O}_U, F; W).$$

Similarly, let $(j_!^{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{O}_U, F; W')$ denote the underlying filtered $\mathcal{D}_X$-Module of $j_!A_U^H$. Then we have a canonical isomorphism in $D^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X, \mathcal{D}; W)$:

$$(j_!^{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{O}_U, F) = \mathrm{DR}_X^{-1}(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)(-D), F)[\dim X], \tag{1.4.6}$$

where $(-D)$ means the tensor with the sheaf of reduced ideals of D.

2. Mixed Hodge Complexes

In this section, we construct triangulated categories of mixed Hodge complexes on an algebraic variety or an analytic space, together with a natural functor from the bounded derived category of mixed Hodge Modules to this category.

2.1. Let X be a separated complex analytic space, and A a subfield of $\mathbb{R}$. Let $C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}, A; W)$ denote the category whose objects are

$$K = ((K_F; F, W), (K_A, W), (K_{\mathbb{C}}, W); \alpha_F, \alpha_A),$$

where $(K_F; F, W)$ is a bounded filtered differential complex with a finite filtration W such that $\mathrm{DR}_X^{-1}(K_F; F, W)$ is holonomic in the sense of (1.3), (K_Λ, W) for $\Lambda = A, \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded complex of Λ-Modules with a finite increasing filtration W, and

$$\alpha_\Lambda : (K_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}, W) \to (K_{\mathbb{C}}, W) \quad \text{for } \Lambda = F, A$$

is a filtered quasi-isomorphism of complexes of $\mathbb{C}$-Modules. Here $(K_{F,\mathbb{C}}, W)$ is the underlying filtered complex of $\mathbb{C}$-Modules of $(K_F; F, W)$ and

$$(K_{A,\mathbb{C}}, W) = (K_A, W) \otimes_A \mathbb{C}.$$

A morphism $u : K \to L$ of $C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}, A; W)$ consists of $(u_F, u_A, u_{\mathbb{C}}, u'_F, u'_A)$ where

$$u_F : (K_F; F, W) \to (L_F; F, W)$$

is a morphism of filtered differential complex preserving W,

$$u_\Lambda : (K_\Lambda, W) \to (L_\Lambda, W) \quad \text{for } \Lambda = A, \mathbb{C}$$

is a morphism of filtered complexes of Λ-Modules, and

$$u'_\Lambda : (K^i_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}, W) \to (L^{i-1}_{\mathbb{C}}, W) \ (i \in \mathbb{Z})$$

are morphisms of filtered $\mathbb{C}$-Modules for $\Lambda = F, A$, such that

$$\alpha_\Lambda \circ u_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}} - u_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \alpha_\Lambda = du'_\Lambda + u'_\Lambda d \quad \text{for } \Lambda = F, A, \tag{2.1.1}$$

where $u_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}} : (K_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}, W) \to (L_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}, W)$ is induced by u_Λ.

A homotopy $h : K \to L[-1]$ of $C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}, A; W)$ consists of $(h_F, h_A, h_{\mathbb{C}}, h'_F, h'_A)$, where

$$h_F : (K^i_F; F, W) \to (L^{i-1}_F; F, W[-1]) \ \ (i \in \mathbb{Z})$$

are filtered differential morphisms preserving W, and

$$h_\Lambda : (K^i_\Lambda, W) \to (L^{i-1}_\Lambda, W[-1]) \ (i \in \mathbb{Z})$$
$$h'_\Lambda : (K^i_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}, W) \to (L^{i-2}_{\mathbb{C}}, W[-1]) \ (i \in \mathbb{Z})$$

are morphisms of filtered Λ-Modules for $\Lambda = A, \mathbb{C}$, such that they satisfy the following condition:

If we put $u_\Lambda = dh_\Lambda + h_\Lambda d$ for $\Lambda = F, A, \mathbb{C}$, and

$$u'_\Lambda = dh'_\Lambda - h'_\Lambda d + \alpha_\Lambda \circ h_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}} - h_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \alpha_\Lambda \quad \text{for } \Lambda = F, A, \tag{2.1.2}$$

then $u = (u_F, u_A, u_{\mathbb{C}}, u'_F, u'_A) : K \to L$ is a morphism of $C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}, A; W)$. (Here $(W[m])_k = W_{k-m}$ in general.)

The above u is denoted by $dh + hd$. A morphism u of $C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}, A; W)$ is called homotopic to zero if $u = dh + hd$ for some homotopy h.

For a morphism $u : K \to L$ of $C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}, A; W)$, the mapping cone $M = C(K \to L)$ consists of $M_\Lambda = C(K_\Lambda \to L_\Lambda)$ for $\Lambda = F, A, \mathbb{C}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} F_pM_F &= C(F_pK_F \to F_pL_F), \\ W_kM_\Lambda &= C(W_{k-1}K_\Lambda \to W_kL_\Lambda) \ (\Lambda = F, A, \mathbb{C}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.3}$$

where $\alpha_\Lambda : C(K_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}} \to L_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}) \to C(K_{\mathbb{C}} \to L_{\mathbb{C}})$ for $\Lambda = F, A$ is given by

$$\alpha_\Lambda(x,y) = (\alpha_\Lambda x, u'_\Lambda x + \alpha_\Lambda y) \quad \text{for } x \in K^{i+1}_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}, y \in L^i_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}.$$

We define $K[1] = C(K \to 0)$, and more generally $K[m]$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ to be

$$((K_F[m]; F, W[m]), (K[m]_A, W[m]), (K_{\mathbb{C}}[m], W[m]); \alpha_F, \alpha_A).$$

Then, as in [21], we have natural morphisms $K \to L \to C(K \to L) \to K[1]$.

We get similarly $C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X, \mathcal{D}, A; W)$ replacing $(K_F; F, W)$ by $(K_D; F, W)$ a holonomic complex of filtered $\mathcal{D}$-Modules on X with a finite increasing filtration W, and $(K_{F,\mathbb{C}}, W)$ by $(K_{\mathcal{D},\mathbb{C}}, W) := \mathrm{DR}_X(K_{\mathcal{D}}, W)$. Here DR_X is defined by choosing a covering $\{U_i \to V_i\}_i$ of X as in (1.3).

We have also the notions of homotopy and mapping cone. A natural functor

$$\mathrm{DR}_X^{-1} : C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}, A; W) \to C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X, \mathcal{D}, A; W), \tag{2.1.4}$$

is obtained by using the filtered quasi-isomorphism $\mathrm{DR}_X\mathrm{DR}_X^{-1}(K_F, W) \to (K_{F,\mathbb{C}}, W)$.

Forgetting the filtration W, we have similarly $C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}, A)$, $C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X, \mathcal{D}, A)$ together with natural functors

$$\mathrm{Gr}^W_k : C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}, A; W) \to C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}, A).$$

For $K = ((K_{\mathcal{D}}; F, W), (K_A, W), (K_{\mathbb{C}}, W); \alpha_{\mathcal{D}}, \alpha_A) \in C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X, \mathcal{D}, A; W)$, let $\mathcal{H}^iK$ denote

$$(\mathcal{H}^i(K_{\mathcal{D}}, F; W[i]), {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(K_A, W[i]); \alpha_A^{-1} \circ \alpha_{\mathcal{D}}) \in MF_{\mathrm{hol}}(X, \mathcal{D}, A; W), \tag{2.1.5}$$

where

$$\alpha_A^{-1} \circ \alpha_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathrm{DR}_X\mathcal{H}^i(K_{\mathcal{D}}, W[i]) \simeq {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(K_{A,\mathbb{C}}, W[i])$$

is an isomorphism in the category of filtered perverse sheaves $\mathrm{Perv}(X, \mathbb{C}; W)$. (See [2] for the perverse cohomology functor ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i$, and (1.4) for $MF_{\mathrm{hol}}(X, \mathcal{D}, A; W)$.) Here F, W denote also the induced filtrations on $\mathcal{H}^iK_{\mathcal{D}}$, ${}^p\mathcal{H}^iK_A$, etc., and they are defined separately using the exact sequence $\mathcal{H}^iF_pK_{\mathcal{D}} \to \mathcal{H}^iK_{\mathcal{D}} \to \mathcal{H}^i(K_{\mathcal{D}}/F_pK_{\mathcal{D}})$, etc.

We have similarly $\mathcal{H}^iK \in MF_{\mathrm{hol}}(X, \mathcal{D}, A)$ for $K \in C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X, \mathcal{D}, A)$.

Remarks. (i) The morphisms of $C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}, A; W)$, $C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X, \mathcal{D}, A; W)$, etc. are stable by composition. In fact, $w = vu$ is given by $w_\Lambda = v_\Lambda u_\Lambda$, $w'_\Lambda = v'_\Lambda u_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}} + v_{\mathbb{C}} u'_\Lambda$. Furthermore, if u or v is homotopic to zero, so is w. For example, if $v = dh + hd$, then $w = dg + gd$ with $g_\Lambda = h_\Lambda u_\Lambda$, $g'_\Lambda = h'_\Lambda u_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}} + h_{\mathbb{C}} u'_\Lambda$.

(ii) For $K \in C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}, A; W)$, we define a complex $\tilde{K}_\Lambda$ for $\Lambda = F, A$ by

$$\tilde{K}^i_\Lambda = K^i_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}} \oplus K^{i-1}_{\mathbb{C}} \quad \text{with } d(x,y) = (dx, \alpha_\Lambda x - dy).$$

For a morphism $u : K \to L$, let

$$\tilde{u}_\Lambda : \tilde{K}_\Lambda \to \tilde{L}_\Lambda \quad \text{with } \tilde{u}_\Lambda(x,y) = (u_\Lambda x, u'_\Lambda x + u_{\mathbb{C}} y).$$

Then the condition (2.1.1) corresponds to $d\tilde{u}_\Lambda = \tilde{u}_\Lambda d$. For a homotopy $h : K \to L[-1]$, let

$$\tilde{h}_\Lambda : \tilde{K}_\Lambda \to \tilde{L}_\Lambda[-1] \quad \text{with } \tilde{h}_\Lambda(x,y) = (h_\Lambda x, -h'_\Lambda x - h_{\mathbb{C}} y).$$

Then (2.1.2) corresponds to $\tilde{u}_\Lambda = d\tilde{h}_\Lambda + \tilde{h}_\Lambda d$.

2.2. Definition. We say that $K = ((K_{\mathcal{D}}; F), (K_A), (K_{\mathbb{C}}); \alpha_{\mathcal{D}}, \alpha_A) \in C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X, \mathcal{D}, A)$ splits in the derived categories in a compatible way if we have (noncanonical) isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (K_{\mathcal{D}}, F) &\simeq \bigoplus_i (\mathcal{H}^i(K_{\mathcal{D}}, F))[-i] \quad \text{in } D^bF(\mathcal{D}_X), \\ K_\Lambda &\simeq \bigoplus_i ({}^p\mathcal{H}^i K_\Lambda)[-i] \quad \text{in } D^b(X, \Lambda) \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.1}$$

for $\Lambda = A, \mathbb{C}$ in a compatible way with $\alpha_{\mathcal{D}}, \alpha_A$.

The category $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A, n)_{\mathcal{D}}$ of pure A-Hodge $\mathcal{D}$-complexes of weight n on X is the full subcategory of $C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X, \mathcal{D}, A)$ consisting of K such that K splits in the derived categories in a compatible way, and the $\mathcal{H}^i K$ are polarizable A-Hodge Modules of weight $i+n$ for any i. The category $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)_{\mathcal{D}}$ of mixed A-Hodge $\mathcal{D}$-complexes on X is the full subcategory of $C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X, \mathcal{D}, A; W)$ consisting of K such that $\mathrm{Gr}^W_k K \in C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A, k)_{\mathcal{D}}$ for any k. The category $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)$ of mixed A-Hodge complexes on X is the full subcategory of $C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}, A; W)$ consisting of K such that $\mathrm{DR}_X^{-1} K \in C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)_{\mathcal{D}}$. Then considering morphisms of $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A, n)_{\mathcal{D}}$, $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)_{\mathcal{D}}$, $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)$ up to homotopy, we get respectively $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A, n)_{\mathcal{D}}$, $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)_{\mathcal{D}}$, $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)$.

If X is a complex algebraic variety, the categories $\mathcal{K}^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)$, $\mathcal{K}^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)_{\mathcal{D}}$, etc. are defined in the same way as above, where $(K_F; F, W)$ is a bounded holonomic filtered (algebraic) differential complex on X with a finite increasing filtration W, $(K_{\mathcal{D}}; F, W)$ is a holonomic filtered (algebraic) $\mathcal{D}$-Module on X with a finite increasing filtration W, $(K_A, W), (K_{\mathbb{C}}, W)$ are filtered complexes on X^{an}, $(K_{F,\mathbb{C}}, W)$ is the underlying filtered complex of $\mathbb{C}$-Modules of $(K_F, W)^{\mathrm{an}}$, and $(K_{\mathcal{D},\mathbb{C}}, W) = \mathrm{DR}_X(K_{\mathcal{D}}, W)$.

If X is an algebraic variety defined over a subfield k of $\mathbb{C}$, the categories $\mathcal{K}^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)$, $\mathcal{K}^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)_{\mathcal{D}}$, etc. are defined similarly, by assuming $(K_F; F, W), (K_{\mathcal{D}}; F, W)$ are defined over k.

An object K of $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)$ or $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)_{\mathcal{D}}$ is weakly acyclic if K_A is acyclic, and a morphism $u : K \to L$ of $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)$ or $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)_{\mathcal{D}}$ is a weak quasi-isomorphism if $u_A : K_A \to L_A$ is a quasi-isomorphism forgetting W. (A weak quasi-isomorphism is not necessarily a filtered quasi-isomorphism for W.)

Remarks. (i) The decomposition (2.2.1) implies that F on $K_{\mathcal{D}}$ is strict.

If we define $\mathcal{D}^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A,n)_{\mathcal{D}}$ by inverting filtered quasi-isomorphisms (or, equivalently, weak quasi-isomorphisms) of $\mathcal{K}^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A,n)_{\mathcal{D}}$, we see that the decomposition (2.2.1) is equivalent to (the existence of) a noncanonical isomorphism

$$K \simeq \bigoplus_i \mathcal{H}^i K[-i] \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A,n)_{\mathcal{D}},$$

because the uniqueness of the isomorphism is not in question. Here $\mathcal{H}^i K = (\mathcal{H}^i K_{\mathcal{D}}, {}^p\mathcal{H}^i K_A, {}^p\mathcal{H}^i K_{\mathbb{C}})$ can be defined in $\mathcal{D}^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A,n)_{\mathcal{D}}$ by using (2.7) together with the forgetful functor which forgets the filtration W. In fact, if we define $K^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X,\mathcal{D},A)$ by considering morphisms of $C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X,\mathcal{D},A)$ up to homotopy, and then $D^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X,\mathcal{D},A)$ by inverting filtered quasi-isomorphisms, we see that a natural functor $\mathcal{D}^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A,n)_{\mathcal{D}} \to D^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X,\mathcal{D},A)$ is fully faithful. (Note however that we do not have well-defined functors $\mathrm{Gr}^W_k : \mathcal{D}^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}} \to \mathcal{D}^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A,n)_{\mathcal{D}}$.)

More generally, let $K, L \in C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X,\mathcal{D},A)$, and assume that we have isomorphisms $(K_{\mathcal{D}},F) \simeq (L_{\mathcal{D}},F)$ in $D^bF(X,\mathcal{D})$ and $K_\Lambda \simeq L_\Lambda$ in $D^b(X,\Lambda)$ $(\Lambda = A,\mathbb{C})$ compatible with $\alpha_{\mathcal{D}}$, α_A. Then we have $(M_{\mathcal{D}},F) \in C^bF(X,\mathcal{D})$, $M_\Lambda \in C^b(X,\Lambda)$ $(\Lambda = A,\mathbb{C})$ with (filtered) quasi-isomorphisms $(K_{\mathcal{D}},F) \to (M_{\mathcal{D}},F) \leftarrow (L_{\mathcal{D}},F)$ in $C^bF(X,\mathcal{D})$, $K_\Lambda \to M_\Lambda \leftarrow L_\Lambda$ in $C^b(X,\Lambda)$, which represent the given isomorphisms in the derived categories. Then replacing $M_{\mathbb{C}}$ if necessary, we have quasi-isomorphisms $\alpha_\Lambda : M_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}} \to M_{\mathbb{C}}$ for $\Lambda = \mathcal{D}, A$, which give a commutative diagram in $K^b(X,\mathbb{C})$. So we get filtered quasi-isomorphisms $K \to M \leftarrow L$ in $C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X,\mathcal{D},A)$.

(ii) If X is algebraic, we have natural functors

$$K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A) \to K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X^{\mathrm{an}},A), \;\; K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}} \to K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X^{\mathrm{an}},A)_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

(iii) In the definition of morphisms of $\mathcal{C}^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)$, $\mathcal{C}^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}$, etc., we need the homotopy u'_Λ (which was not used in [1] where $X = pt$) in order to construct a natural functor from the derived category of bounded complexes of mixed Hodge Modules to $D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}$. See (2.7) below. The decomposition (2.2.1) of $\mathrm{Gr}^W_k K$ is necessary to prove the stability by the direct image under a proper morphism (see (2.8) below), and has nothing to do with p-structure in [1] when $X = pt$. In fact, the latter was used in loc. cit. to identify the bounded derived category of polarizable mixed Hodge structures with a full subcategory of the bounded derived category of mixed Hodge structures. In the case $X = pt$, note that (2.2.1) is not necessary in the definition of $D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)$, because it is not used in (2.10) below so that $D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(pt,A)$ is equivalent to the category which is defined without assuming (2.2.1).

2.3. Proposition. *For $K = ((K_{\mathcal{D}};F,W),(K_A,W),(K_{\mathbb{C}},W);\alpha_{\mathcal{D}},\alpha_A) \in C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}$, we have the weight spectral sequence*

$$E_1^{p,q} = \mathcal{H}^{p+q}\mathrm{Gr}^W_{-p}K \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{p+q}K \quad \text{in } \mathrm{MHW}(X,A), \tag{2.3.1}$$

which degenerate at E_2, and whose d_1 is strictly compatible with F. (See (1.4) for MHW(X,A).*) Furthermore, $(K_{\mathcal{D}};F,\mathrm{Dec}\,W)$ is strict in the sense of [14, 1.2] (i.e., bistrict,* *see also (A.2) below), and gives the Hodge and weight filtrations on* $\mathcal{H}^iK$. *Consequently, a weak quasi-isomorphism* $K\to L$ *of* $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}$) *induces a bifiltered quasi-isomorphism*

$$(K_{\mathcal{D}};F,\operatorname{Dec}W)\to(L_{\mathcal{D}};F,\operatorname{Dec}W). \tag{2.3.2}$$

Proof. This follows from (1.4) and (A.3).

Remarks. (i) In the case $X=pt$, (2.3) follows from [4].

(ii) The last assertion of (2.3) means

$$(K_{\mathcal{D}};F,\operatorname{Dec}W) \text{ is well-defined in } D^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X,\mathcal{D};W') \text{ for } K\in D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}. \tag{2.3.3}$$

This justifies the definition of $D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)$, $D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}$ by inverting the weak quasi-isomorphisms.

The well-definedness of $\operatorname{Dec}W$ in the exact category implies for example that Dec commutes with Gr^F_p. See (A.3).

2.4. Proposition-Definition. *The categories* $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)$ *and* $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}$ *are triangulated categories whose distinguished triangles are isomorphic to the images in* $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)$, $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}$ *of*

$$\to K\to L\to C(K\to L)\overset{+1}{\to}$$

given in (2.1). The full subcategories of $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)$, $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}$ *consisting of weakly acyclic objects are thick subcategories in the sense of [21], and the associated quotient categories, which will be denoted by* $D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)$, $D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}$ *are also triangulated categories whose distinguished triangles are as above. The functor* DR_X^{-1} *in (2.1) induces a natural functor*

$$\mathrm{DR}_X^{-1}:D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)\to D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

Proof. We prove the first assertion for $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A),D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)$. The argument is similar for $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}},D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}$.

For a morphism $u:K\to L$ in $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)$, its mapping cone $M=C(K\to L)$ belongs to $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)$, because $\mathrm{Gr}^W_kM=(\mathrm{Gr}^W_{k-1}K)[1]\oplus\mathrm{Gr}^W_kL$ (since u_Λ and u'_Λ preserve the filtration W). Then we can verify the axioms of triangulated category in [21] for $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)$.

We see first that compositions of successive two morphisms of the triangle are homotopic to zero. For the composition of $K\to L\to C(K\to L)$, we have a homotopy h defined by $h_\Lambda(x)=(x,0)$ and $h'_\Lambda=0$. We verify similarly $C(id:K\to K)=0$ (i.e., $id:C(id)\to C(id)$ is homotopic to zero), using a homotopy defined by $h_\Lambda(x,y)=(y,0)$ and $h'_\Lambda=0$.

For the invariance of triangles by shift, we can show for example that the projection $C(u+id:K\oplus L\to L)\to K[1]$ is an isomorphism whose inverse v is given by $v_\Lambda(x)=(x,-u_\Lambda(x),0)$, $v'_\Lambda(x)=(0,u'_\Lambda(x),0)$, using the homotopy $h:C(u+id)\to C(u+id)$ defined by $h_\Lambda(x,y,z)=(0,z,0)$, $h'_\Lambda=0$.

If we have morphisms

$$u : K \to L,\ \ v : M \to N,\ \ f : K \to M,\ \ g : L \to N \quad \text{in } C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)$$

and a homotopy $h : K \to N[-1]$ such that $gu - vf = dh + hd$, then we have $w : C(K \to L) \to C(M \to N)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} w_\Lambda(x, y) &= (f_\Lambda x, h_\Lambda x + g_\Lambda y) \quad \text{for } \Lambda = F, A, \mathbb{C}, \\ w'_\Lambda(x, y) &= (-f'_\Lambda x, -h'_\Lambda x + g'_\Lambda y) \quad \text{for } \Lambda = F, A. \end{aligned}$$

So the mapping cone is unique up to a noncanonical isomorphism due to [21, 1.2]. Then we verify the octahedral axiom by showing the isomorphism

$$C(C(K \to L) \to C(M \to N)) = C(C(K \to M) \to C(L \to N)).$$

Then it is clear that the subcategory consisting of weakly acyclic objects is thick, and the remaining assertion follows from [21].

2.5. We are now going to construct a natural functor from the bounded derived category of mixed Hodge Modules $D^b\mathrm{MHM}(X, A)$ to the triangulated category of mixed Hodge $\mathcal{D}$-complexes $D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)_{\mathcal{D}}$. We first construct a category $C^b_{\mathrm{MHM}}(X, A)$ which is naturally equivalent to the category of bounded complexes of mixed Hodge Modules $C^b\mathrm{MHM}(X, A)$.

For $\Lambda = A, \mathbb{C}$, let $C^*_c(X, \Lambda; G, W')$ be the category of bifiltered complexes of Λ-Modules $(K_\Lambda; G, W')$ on X such that G, W' are finite filtrations on K_Λ, $\mathcal{H}^i\mathrm{Gr}^j_G\mathrm{Gr}^k_{W'}K_\Lambda$ are Λ-constructible sheaves on X, and K_Λ satisfy the boundedness condition corresponding to $* = +, -, b$. Then $K^*_c(X, \Lambda; G, W')$, $D^*_c(X, \Lambda; G, W')$ are defined as in [21]. Let $K^{+,b}_c(X, \Lambda; G, W')_{\mathrm{inj}}$ be the full subcategory of $K^+_c(X, \Lambda; G, W')$ consisting of $(K_\Lambda; G, W')$ such that $\mathrm{Gr}^j_G\mathrm{Gr}^k_{W'}K^i_\Lambda$ are injective Λ-Modules and $\mathcal{H}^i\mathrm{Gr}^j_G\mathrm{Gr}^k_{W'}K_\Lambda = 0$ for $i \gg 0$. Then we have an equivalence of categories

$$K^{+,b}_c(X, \Lambda; G, W')_{\mathrm{inj}} = D^b_c(X, \Lambda; G, W'). \tag{2.5.1}$$

See [2] (and also (A.7) below).

Let $MF_{\mathrm{hol}}(X, \mathcal{D}; W')$ denote the category of filtered $\mathcal{D}$-Modules (M, F) with a finite increasing filtration W' on X such that the $\mathrm{Gr}^{W'}_k(M, F)$ are holonomic. We consider the category $K^{+,b}_{\mathrm{hol}}(X, \mathcal{D}, A; G, W')$ whose objects are

$$K = ((K_{\mathcal{D}}; F, W'), (K_A; G, W'), (K_{\mathbb{C}}; G, W'); \alpha_{\mathcal{D}}, \alpha_A)$$

where $(K_{\mathcal{D}}; F, W')$ is a bounded complex of $MF_{\mathrm{hol}}(X, \mathcal{D}; W')$, $(K_\Lambda; G, W')$ is an object of $K^{+,b}_c(X, \Lambda; G, W')_{\mathrm{inj}}$ for $\Lambda = A, \mathbb{C}$, and

$$\alpha_\Lambda : (K_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}; G, W') \to (K_{\mathbb{C}}; G, W')$$

is a bifiltered quasi-isomorphism in $K^+(X,\mathbb{C};G,W')$ for $\Lambda=\mathcal{D},A$. Here

$$(K_{\mathcal{D},\mathbb{C}};G,W')=\mathrm{DR}_X(K_{\mathcal{D}};\sigma,W'),\quad (K_{A,\mathbb{C}};G,W')=(K_A;G,W')\otimes_A\mathbb{C},$$

and σ is the filtration "bête" in [4].

A morphism $\tilde{u}:K\to L$ of $K^{+,b}_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X,\mathcal{D},A;G,W')$ consists of $(\tilde{u}_{\mathcal{D}},\tilde{u}_A,\tilde{u}_{\mathbb{C}})$ which are morphisms of $C^bF(X,\mathcal{D};W')$, $K^+_c(X,A;G,W')$, $K^+_c(X,\mathbb{C};G,W')$ respectively such that

$$\alpha_\Lambda\circ\tilde{u}_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}=\tilde{u}_{\mathbb{C}}\circ\alpha_\Lambda\quad\text{in } K^+_c(X,\mathbb{C};G,W')$$

for $\Lambda=\mathcal{D},A$, where $\tilde{u}_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}:(K_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}};G,W')\to(L_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}};G,W')$ denotes the induced morphism by $\tilde{u}_\Lambda$. (Here $\tilde{u}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is defined in $C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X,\mathcal{D};G,W')$ because the homotopy must preserve the filtration σ.)

We define $C^b_{\mathrm{MHM}}(X,A)$ to be the full subcategory of $K^{+,b}_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X,\mathcal{D},A;G,W')$ consisting of K such that

$$((K^j_{\mathcal{D}};F,W'),(\mathrm{Gr}^j_GK_A[j],W'),\alpha_A^{-1}\circ\alpha_{\mathcal{D}})\in\mathrm{MHM}(X,A)$$

where $\alpha_A^{-1}\circ\alpha_{\mathcal{D}}:\mathrm{DR}_X(K^j_{\mathcal{D}},W')\simeq(\mathrm{Gr}^j_GK_A[j],W')\otimes_A\mathbb{C}$ is an isomorphism in the category of filtered perverse sheaves $\mathrm{Perv}(X,\mathbb{C};W')$.

Remark. For $\Lambda=A,\mathbb{C}$, let $D^b_c(X,\Lambda;G_{\text{bête}},W')$ denote the full subcategory of $D^b_c(X,\Lambda;G,W')$ whose objects satisfy the condition

$$\mathrm{Gr}^j_G\mathrm{Gr}^k_{W'}K[j]\in\mathrm{Perv}(X,\Lambda)\quad\text{for any } j,k.$$

Then we have a filtration W' on $\overline{K}^i:=\mathrm{Gr}^i_GK[i]\in\mathcal{C}$ such that

$$(W'^a/W'^b)\overline{K}^i=\mathrm{Gr}^i_G(W'^a/W'^b)K[i]\quad\text{in } \mathrm{Perv}(X,\Lambda).\tag{2.5.2}$$

So we get a functor

$$\mathrm{Gr}_G:D^b_c(X,\Lambda;G_{\text{bête}},W')\to C^b\mathrm{Perv}(X,\Lambda;W'),\tag{2.5.3}$$

where the right-hand side is the category of bounded complexes of filtered perverse sheaves. See (A.4) below. Furthermore, (2.5.3) is an equivalence of categories. See (A.5) below. For the full faithfulness of (2.5.3), we use the spectral sequences

$$\begin{aligned}E_1^{p,q}&=\prod_{j-i=p}\mathrm{Ext}^q_{D^+(X,\Lambda;W')}(\mathrm{Gr}^i_G(K,W')[i],\mathrm{Gr}^j_G(L,W')[j])\\&\qquad\qquad\Rightarrow\mathrm{Ext}^{p+q}_{D^+(X,\Lambda;W')}((K,W'),(L,W')),\\E_1^{p,q}&=(\text{same as above if } p\ge k,\ \text{ and } 0 \ \text{ otherwise})\\&\qquad\qquad\Rightarrow\mathrm{Ext}^{p+q}_{D^+(X,\Lambda;G,W')}((K;G,W'),(L;G[k],W')),\end{aligned}\tag{2.5.4}$$

such that $E_1^{p,q}=0$ for $q<0$. See (A.5.3). Note that the edge morphism to $E_1^{k,q}$ of the second spectral sequence is induced by the passage to Gr_G (i.e. by the functor (2.5.3)).

2.6. Proposition. *We have naturally an equivalence of categories*

$$C^b_{\mathrm{MHM}}(X,A)=C^b\mathrm{MHM}(X,A)$$

where the right-hand side is the category of bounded complexes of mixed A-Hodge Modules.

Proof. Since (2.5.3) is an equivalence of categories by (A.5), we see (using (2.5.1)) that $K^{+,b}_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X,\mathcal{D},A;G,W')$ is equivalent to the fiber product of $C^bMF_{\mathrm{hol}}(X,\mathcal{D};W')$ and $C^b\mathrm{Perv}(X,A;W')$ over $C^b\mathrm{Perv}(X,\mathbb{C};W')$. This is the category consisting of pairs

$$(M,F;W)\in C^bMH_{\mathrm{hol}}(X,\mathcal{D};W'),\quad (\overline{K}_A,W')\in C^b\mathrm{Perv}(X,A;W')$$

with a given isomorphism

$$\alpha:\mathrm{DR}_X(M,W')\simeq(\overline{K}_A,W')\otimes_A\mathbb{C}\quad\text{in } C^b\mathrm{Perv}(X,\mathbb{C};W').$$

So the assertion is clear by definition of $C^b_{\mathrm{MHM}}(X,A)$.

2.7. Theorem. *We have a natural functor*

$$\varepsilon:D^b\mathrm{MHM}(X,A)\to D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}},\tag{2.7.1}$$

where the left-hand side is the derived category of bounded complexes of mixed A-Hodge Modules.

Proof. We prove the assertion in the case X is an analytic space. The argument is similar in the case X is algebraic.

Using the truncation $\tau_{\le i}$ for i large enough, we can replace the bounded condition for (K_Λ,W) $(\Lambda=A,\mathbb{C})$ in the definition of $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}$ by the cohomological one (i.e., $\mathcal{H}^j\mathrm{Gr}^W_kK_\Lambda=0$ for $\Lambda=A,\mathbb{C}$ if j is large enough). Then we have naturally a well-defined functor

$$C^b_{\mathrm{MHM}}(X,A)\to K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}.\tag{2.7.2}$$

In fact, we may change the above definition of $K^{+,b}_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X,\mathcal{D},A;G,W')$ so that

$$(K_\Lambda;G,W')\in C^{+,b}_c(X,\Lambda;G,W')_{\mathrm{inj}}\quad\text{for }\Lambda=A,\mathbb{C},$$

α_Λ is a morphism of $C^+(X,\mathbb{C};G,W')$ for $\Lambda=\mathcal{D},A$, and $\tilde{u}_\Lambda$ is represented by a morphism u_Λ of $C^+(X,\Lambda;G,W')$ for $\Lambda=A,\mathbb{C}$. So $\alpha_\Lambda\circ u_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}=u_{\mathbb{C}}\circ\alpha_\Lambda$ holds up to homotopy in $C^+(X,\mathbb{C};G,W')$, and we consider morphisms $u=(u_{\mathcal{D}},u_A,u_{\mathbb{C}})$ up to homotopy in the sense that u and v are homotopic if u_Λ and v_Λ are homotopic in $C^+(X,\Lambda;G,W')$ for $\Lambda=A,\mathbb{C}$

and $u_{\mathcal{D}} = v_{\mathcal{D}}$. (Note that these homotopies are not given, but the homotopies u'_{Λ} in the definition of $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}$ are given. For the well-definedness of (2.7.2), the difference must be absorbed by the homotopy h.)

Let W be the convolution of G and W', i.e., $W_k = \sum_j G^j W'_{k+j}$, where $G = \sigma$ on $K_{\mathcal{D}}$. Then

$$((K_{\mathcal{D}}; F, W), (K_A, W), (K_{\mathbb{C}}, W); \alpha_{\mathcal{D}}, \alpha_A)$$

belongs to $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}$, because the decomposition (2.2.1) is satisfied by

$$\mathrm{Gr}^W_k = \bigoplus_j \mathrm{Gr}^j_G \mathrm{Gr}^{W'}_{k+j}. \tag{2.7.3}$$

Here $\mathcal{H}^i \mathrm{Gr}^j_G \mathrm{Gr}^{W'}_k K_{\Lambda} = 0$ and $\mathcal{H}^i \mathrm{Gr}^W_k K_{\Lambda} = 0$ for $i \gg 0$ with $\Lambda = A, \mathbb{C}$ by assumption. Furthermore, we have

$$\alpha_{\Lambda} \circ u_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}} - u_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \alpha_{\Lambda} = du'_{\Lambda} + u'_{\Lambda} d \quad \text{with } u'_{\Lambda} \in G^0 W'_0 \mathrm{Hom}^{-1}(K_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}, L_{\mathbb{C}})$$

for $\Lambda = \mathcal{D}, A$, and they give a morphism u in $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}$. (In fact, an element of $G^0 W'_0 \mathrm{Hom}^{-1}(K_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}, L_{\mathbb{C}})$ preserves G, W' and hence W.) Here $\mathrm{Hom}^{\bullet}(K_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}, L_{\mathbb{C}})$ denotes a complex as in (A.5). We have to show that u is unique up to homotopy.

Assume we have v_{Λ} which is homotopic to u_{Λ} for $\Lambda = A, \mathbb{C}$. Put $v_{\mathcal{D}} = u_{\mathcal{D}}$. We define v'_{Λ} as above for $\Lambda = \mathcal{D}, A$ so that we get a morphism v in $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}$. Then

$$u_{\Lambda} - v_{\Lambda} = dh_{\Lambda} + h_{\Lambda} d \quad \text{with } h_{\Lambda} \in G^0 W'_0 \mathrm{Hom}^{-1}(K_{\Lambda}, L_{\Lambda})$$

for $\Lambda = A, \mathbb{C}$ by assumption. Put $h_{\mathcal{D}} = 0$. We consider

$$w_{\Lambda} = u'_{\Lambda} - v'_{\Lambda} - \alpha_{\Lambda} \circ h_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}} + h_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \alpha_{\Lambda} \in G^0 W'_0 \mathrm{Hom}^{-1}(K_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}, L_{\mathbb{C}})$$

for $\Lambda = \mathcal{D}, A$. Then $dw_{\Lambda} + w_{\Lambda} d = 0$, and

$$w_{\Lambda} = dh'_{\Lambda} - h'_{\Lambda} d \quad \text{with } h'_{\Lambda} \in G^0 W'_0 \mathrm{Hom}^{-2}(K_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}, L_{\mathbb{C}}),$$

because $H^{-1} G^0 W'_0 \mathrm{Hom}^{\bullet}(K_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}, L_{\mathbb{C}}) = 0$ by the second spectral sequence of (2.5.4) with $k = 0$. So $u - v$ is homotopic to zero in $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}$, and (2.7.2) is well-defined.

Now we have to show that (2.7.2) induces

$$K^b \mathrm{MHM}(X,A) \to K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}, \tag{2.7.4}$$

i.e., u is homotopic to zero in $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}$, if it corresponds to a morphism of $C^b\mathrm{MHM}(X,A)$ which is homotopic to zero. Let $(\overline{K}_{\Lambda}, W')$ be the image of $(K_{\Lambda}; G, W')$ by (2.5.3), i.e.,

$$(W'_a/W'_b)\overline{K}^i_{\Lambda} = \mathrm{Gr}^i_G (W'_a/W'_b) K_{\Lambda}[i] \quad \text{in } \mathrm{Perv}(X,\Lambda).$$

Put $(\overline{K}_{\mathcal{D}}; F, W') = (K_{\mathcal{D}}; F, W')$. (Similarly for L.) Let

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{h}_{\mathcal{D}} &: (\overline{K}^i_{\mathcal{D}}; F, W') \to (\overline{L}^{i-1}_{\mathcal{D}}; F, W'), \\ \overline{h}_{\Lambda} &: (\overline{K}^i_{\Lambda}, W') \to (\overline{L}^{i-1}_{\Lambda}, W') \ (\Lambda = A, \mathbb{C}) \end{aligned}$$

be homotopies which are compatible with $\mathrm{Gr}_G\alpha_\Lambda$ for $\Lambda = \mathcal{D}, A$, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{Gr}_G\alpha_\Lambda\circ\overline{h}_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}} = \overline{h}_{\mathbb{C}}\circ\mathrm{Gr}_G\alpha_\Lambda \quad \text{in } \mathrm{Perv}(X,\mathbb{C}),$$

where $\overline{h}_{\mathcal{D},\mathbb{C}} = \mathrm{DR}_X(\overline{h}_{\mathcal{D}})$ and $\overline{h}_{A,\mathbb{C}} = \overline{h}_A \otimes_A \mathbb{C}$. (This condition is satisfied because the homotopies come from a homotopy of mixed Hodge Modules.)

Let $\overline{u}_\Lambda = d\overline{h}_\Lambda + \overline{h}_\Lambda d$ for $\Lambda = \mathcal{D}, A, \mathbb{C}$. Then by the second spectral sequence of (2.5.4) with $k = 0$, there exist morphisms in $C^+(X,\Lambda; G, W')$:

$$u_\Lambda : (K_\Lambda; G, W') \to (L_\Lambda; G, W') \quad \text{for } \Lambda = A, \mathbb{C},$$

such that $\overline{u}_\Lambda$ is the image of u_Λ by (2.5.3) (i.e., $\overline{u}_\Lambda = \mathrm{Gr}_G u_\Lambda$) for $\Lambda = A, \mathbb{C}$. Put $u_{\mathcal{D}} = \overline{u}_{\mathcal{D}}$.

The image of u_Λ in $H^0G^{-1}W'_0\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(K_\Lambda, L_\Lambda)$ is zero for $\Lambda = A, \mathbb{C}$ due to the spectral sequence (with $k = -1$), because u_Λ in $H^oG^0W'_0\mathrm{Hom}(K_\Lambda, L_\Lambda)$ corresponds by the edge morphism (i.e. by Gr_G or (1.5.3)) to $\overline{u}_\Lambda \in E_1^{0,0}$ of the second spectral sequence of (1.5.4) with $k = 0$, and $\overline{u}_\Lambda \in E_1^{0,0}$ in the spectral sequence with $k = -1$ is the image of $\overline{h}_\Lambda$ by d_1. So there exists a homotopy

$$h_\Lambda \in G^{-1}W'_0\mathrm{Hom}^{-1}(K_\Lambda, L_\Lambda)$$

such that $u_\Lambda = dh_\Lambda + h_\Lambda d$ in $\mathrm{Hom}^0(K_\Lambda, L_\Lambda)$ for $\Lambda = A, \mathbb{C}$. Put $h_{\mathcal{D}} = \overline{h}_{\mathcal{D}}$.

Here we may assume $\mathrm{Gr}_G h_\Lambda = \overline{h}_\Lambda$ by replacing h_Λ if necessary. In fact, let

$$\overline{v}_\Lambda = \mathrm{Gr}_G h_\Lambda - \overline{h}_\Lambda \in W'_0\mathrm{Hom}^{-1}(\overline{K}_\Lambda, \overline{L}_\Lambda)$$

for $\Lambda = A, \mathbb{C}$. Then $d\overline{v}_\Lambda + \overline{v}_\Lambda d = 0$ in $\mathrm{Hom}^0(\overline{K}_\Lambda, \overline{L}_\Lambda)$. Using the second spectral sequence as above with $k = p + q = -1$, we have

$$v_\Lambda \in H^{-1}G^{-1}W'_0\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(K_\Lambda, L_\Lambda),$$

such that $\mathrm{Gr}_G v_\Lambda = \overline{v}_\Lambda$. So modifying h_Λ by v_Λ we have $\mathrm{Gr}_G h_\Lambda = \overline{h}_\Lambda$ for $\Lambda = A, \mathbb{C}$.

Now we show that $u_{\mathcal{D}}$, u_A, $u_{\mathbb{C}}$ are extended to a morphism of $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)_{\mathcal{D}}$. Using the spectral sequence with $k = 0$ again, we have

$$\alpha_\Lambda \circ u_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}} - u_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \alpha_\Lambda = 0 \quad \text{in } H^0G^0W'_0\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(K_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}, L_{\mathbb{C}}),$$

because its image in $E_1^{0,0} = W'_0\mathrm{Hom}^0(\overline{K}_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}, \overline{L}_{\mathbb{C}})$ by the edge morphism is zero and $E_1^{p,q} = 0$ for $p < 0$ or $q < 0$. So there exists

$$u'_\Lambda \in G^0W'_0\mathrm{Hom}^{-1}(K_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}, L_{\mathbb{C}}),$$

such that $\alpha_\Lambda \circ u_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}} - u_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \alpha_\Lambda = du'_\Lambda + u'_\Lambda d$. Then $u = (u_{\mathcal{D}}, u_A, u_{\mathbb{C}}, u'_{\mathcal{D}}, u'_A)$ gives a morphism of $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)_{\mathcal{D}}$.

We have to show that u is homotopic to zero. Let

$$w'_\Lambda = u'_\Lambda - \alpha_\Lambda \circ h_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}} - h_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \alpha_\Lambda \in G^{-1}W'_0\mathrm{Hom}^{-1}(K_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}, L_{\mathbb{C}})$$

for $\Lambda = \mathcal{D}, A$. Then $dw'_\Lambda + w'_\Lambda d = 0$, and

$$\mathrm{Gr}_G w'_\Lambda = -\mathrm{Gr}_G \alpha_\Lambda \circ \overline{h}_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}} + \overline{h}_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \mathrm{Gr}_G \alpha_\Lambda = 0$$

in $W'_0\mathrm{Hom}^{-1}(\overline{K}_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}, \overline{L}_{\mathbb{C}})$ by assumption on $\overline{h}_\Lambda$. This implies

$$w'_\Lambda = 0 \quad \text{in } H^{-1}G^{-1}W'_0\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(K_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}, L_{\mathbb{C}}),$$

by the second spectral sequence as above with $k = -1, p+q = -1$, and we have

$$h'_\Lambda \in G^{-1}W'_0\mathrm{Hom}^{-2}(K_{\Lambda,\mathbb{C}}, L_{\mathbb{C}}),$$

such that $w'_\Lambda = dh'_\Lambda - h'_\Lambda d$. Then $h = (h_{\mathcal{D}}, h_A, h_{\mathbb{C}}, h'_{\mathcal{D}}, h'_A)$ satisfies $u = dh + hd$.

So we get (2.7.4). It is clear that this induces (2.7.1).

2.8. Proposition. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a proper morphism of algebraic varieties or a projective morphism of complex analytic spaces. Then the direct image functors for filtered differential complexes, filtered complexes of $\mathcal{D}$-Modules, and complexes of $A-$ or $\mathbb{C}$-Modules induce the direct image functors for mixed Hodge complexes*

$$f_* : D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A) \to D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(Y, A), \quad f_* : D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)_{\mathcal{D}} \to D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(Y, A)_{\mathcal{D}}, \tag{2.8.1}$$

in a compatible way with DR^{-1}. *In the algebraic case, they are also compatible with the direct image of mixed Hodge Modules [15] via the functor ε in (2.7).*

Proof. The direct image is defined by using the canonical flasque resolution of Godement which is truncated by $\tau_{\le i}$ for i large enough. This induces the functors in (2.8.1) by (iv) of (1.4). The compatibility of the direct image with DR^{-1} is clear.

So it remains to show the compatibility with ε. Let $M^\bullet$ be a bounded complex of mixed Hodge Modules such that $H^if_*M^j = 0$ for $i \ne 0$. It is enough to construct a natural isomorphism

$$\varepsilon f_* M^\bullet = f_* \varepsilon M^\bullet \tag{2.8.2}$$

in a functorial way for $M^\bullet$.

By definition $f_*M^\bullet$ is the complex whose j-th component is $H^0f_*M^j$. Let $K = f_*\varepsilon M^\bullet \in D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)_{\mathcal{D}}$, and G be the filtration on K induced by σ on $M^\bullet$ as in the proof of (2.7). If $M^j = 0$ for $j \ne 0$, the isomorphism (2.8.2) is obtained by using the truncation τ on K (see Remark (i) after (2.9)), because $\mathcal{H}^iK = 0$ for $i \ne 0$. In general, we have to use the truncations relative to the filtration G, which are $\mathrm{Dec}\, G$, $\mathrm{Dec}^* G$ in [1], [4]. We can construct these in our situation. See (2.9) below. Then we have the weak quasi-isomorphisms

$$(\mathrm{Dec}\, G)^0K/(\mathrm{Dec}^*G)^1K \leftarrow (\mathrm{Dec}\, G)^0K \to K, \tag{2.8.3}$$

Here the first term is the mapping cone of $(\mathrm{Dec}^*G)^{-1}K \to (\mathrm{Dec}\, G)^0K$ where W is *not* shifted as in (2.1). So we get the assertion, because the first term is isomorphic to $\varepsilon f_*M^\bullet$.

2.9. Let $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A;G)_{\mathcal{D}}$ denote the category of objects of $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}$ with a finite filtration G. An object of $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A;G)_{\mathcal{D}}$ is

$$K=((K_{\mathcal{D}};F,W,G),(K_A;W,G),(K_{\mathbb{C}};W,G);\alpha_{\mathcal{D}},\alpha_A)$$

such that the three filtrations F,W,G on $K_{\mathcal{D}}$ are compatible in the sense of [14] (see also (A.1)), and $(G^i/G^j)K$ belongs to $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)$ for any $i<j$. Here $(G^i/G^j)K$ denotes

$$(((G^i/G^j)K_{\mathcal{D}};F,W),((G^i/G^j)K_A,W),((G^i/G^j)K_{\mathbb{C}},W);\alpha_{\mathcal{D}},\alpha_A).$$

A morphism of $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A;G)_{\mathcal{D}}$ is a morphism of $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}$ such that u_Λ,u'_Λ preserve G (similarly for homotopy). A weakly acyclic object is filtered acyclic for G. A weak quasi-isomorphism is a filtered quasi-isomorphism for G. We can define $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A;G)_{\mathcal{D}},D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A;G)_{\mathcal{D}}$ as before.

If $K\in C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A;G)_{\mathcal{D}}$ satisfies ${}^p\mathcal{H}^j\mathrm{Gr}^i_G\mathrm{Gr}^W_k K_A=0$ for $i\neq j$, and there exists a filtration W' on $K_{\mathcal{D}}$, K_A, $K_{\mathbb{C}}$, such that W is the convolution of W' and G (see the proof of (2.7)), then we have $M^\bullet\in C^b\mathrm{MHW}(X,A)$ such that

$$M^i=(\mathcal{H}^i\mathrm{Gr}^i_G(K_{\mathcal{D}};F,W'),{}^p\mathcal{H}^i\mathrm{Gr}^i_G(K_A,W'))$$

where the isomorphism $\mathrm{DR}_X(\mathcal{H}^i\mathrm{Gr}^i_GK_{\mathcal{D}})=({}^p\mathcal{H}^i\mathrm{Gr}^i_GK_A)\otimes_A\mathbb{C}$ is induced by $\alpha_{\mathcal{D}}$, α_A. Furthermore, $\varepsilon M^\bullet$ is isomorphic in $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)$ to K with G forgotten.

Using Remarks (ii), (iii) below, we have for $K\in K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A;G)_{\mathcal{D}}$

$$(\mathrm{Dec}\,G)^mK\in K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A;G)_{\mathcal{D}}$$

with a morphism $(\mathrm{Dec}\,G)^mK\to K$ in $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A;G)_{\mathcal{D}}$, where $(\mathrm{Dec}\,G)^mK$ is

$$(((\mathrm{Dec}\,G)^mK_{\mathcal{D}};F,W,G),((\mathrm{Dec}\,G)^mK_A;W,G),((\mathrm{Dec}\,G)^mK_{\mathbb{C}};W,G)),$$

and $(\mathrm{Dec}\,G)^mK_{\mathcal{D}}$, $(\mathrm{Dec}\,G)^mK_\Lambda$ for $\Lambda=A,\mathbb{C}$ are as in Remarks (ii), (iii) below. (Here we assume that $\mathrm{Gr}^W_k\mathrm{Gr}^j_GK_\Lambda$ are injective for $\Lambda=A,\mathbb{C}$ as in the proof of (2.7).) By Remark (ii), $(\mathrm{Dec}\,G)^mK$ is essentially unique in $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A;G)_{\mathcal{D}}$. In fact, if $K_a\to K$ ($a=1,2$) satisfies the condition of $(\mathrm{Dec}\,G)^mK$, we have $K_3\to K$ satisfying the condition of $(\mathrm{Dec}\,G)^mK$ together with morphisms $K_3\to K_a$ ($a=1,2$) compatible with the morphisms to K in $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A;G)_{\mathcal{D}}$ (and the independence of K_3 can be proved). We have also the functoriality of $(\mathrm{Dec}\,G)^mK$. In fact, for a morphism $K\to L$ in $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A;G)_{\mathcal{D}}$, we have a unique morphism $(\mathrm{Dec}\,G)^mK\to(\mathrm{Dec}\,G)^mL$ in $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A;G)_{\mathcal{D}}$ giving a commutative diagram. We have a similar assertion for Dec^*G.

Remarks. (i) In the case the filtration G is trivial (i.e., $\mathrm{Gr}^i_GK=0$ for $i\neq 0$), we get the truncation $\tau_{\le m}$ on the mixed A-Hodge $\mathcal{D}$-complexes. The proof is by induction on the length of W. See Remark (iv) below. In the case $X=pt$, this can be applied to the mixed Hodge complexes in the sense of [4].

(ii) Let $D_c^b(X;A;G,W)$ be the full subcategory of $D^b(X,A;G,W)$ (see the proof of (2.7)) consisting of objects $(K;G,W)$ such that $\mathrm{Gr}_G^j\mathrm{Gr}_W^k K\in D_c^b(X;A)$. Then there exists the t-structure $(D^{\le a},D^{\ge b})$ on $D_c^b(X;A;G,W)$ such that $(K;G,W)\in D^{\le a}$ (resp. $D^{\ge b}$) if and only if

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^i\mathrm{Gr}_G^jW^kK=0\quad\text{for } i>j+a\ \text{ (resp. } i<j+b).$$

In fact, if X is a point, we have the desired t-structure using $\mathrm{Dec}\,G$ in [4]. The argument is similar (up to a shift of t-structure) if $\mathcal{H}^i\mathrm{Gr}_G^j\mathrm{Gr}_W^kK$ are local systems. In general, let Z be a pure dimensional smooth closed subvariety of X such that $\mathcal{H}^i\mathrm{Gr}_G^j\mathrm{Gr}_W^kK|_Z$ are local systems. Let $U=X\backslash Z$ with natural inclusions $i:Z\to X, j:U\to X$. Assume we have the desired t-structure on U and Z by induction on strata. Then the condition of $(K;G,W)\in D^{\le a}$ on X is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}{}^p\mathcal{H}^ii^*\mathrm{Gr}_G^jW^kK=0\ \text{ for } i>j+a,\\ {}^p\mathcal{H}^ij^*\mathrm{Gr}_G^jW^kK=0\ \text{ for } i>j+a.\end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to $i^*(K;G,W)\in D^{\le a}, j^*(K;G,W)\in D^{\le a}$, and similarly for $D^{\ge b}$. So the assertion follows from the gluing argument of t-structures in [2]. The associated truncation $\tilde\tau_{\le m}$ is denoted by $({}^p\mathrm{Dec}\,G)^{-m}$. We have the dual t-structure $({}^dD^{\le a},{}^dD^{\ge b})$ where ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i\mathrm{Gr}_G^jW^kK$ is replaced by ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i\mathrm{Gr}_G^j(K/W^kK)$ in the condition. The associated truncation $\tilde\tau_{\le m}$ is denoted by $({}^p\mathrm{Dec}^*G)^{-m}$.

(iii) Let (M,F) be a complex of filtered $\mathcal{D}$-Modules with decreasing finite filtrations W,G such that F,W,G are compatible on $M_{U\to V}^j$ for any $\{U\to V\}\in LE(X)$ (see (1.3)) in the sense of [14] (see also (A.1)). Let $G'=\mathrm{Dec}\,G$. See [4] (and also (A.3)). Assume the three filtrations F,W,G' on $\mathrm{Gr}_G^iM_{U\to V}^j$ are compatible. (This condition is satisfied in the case of mixed A-Hodge $\mathcal{D}$-complexes by Remark (iv) below.) Then the four filtrations F,W,G,G' on $M_{U\to V}^j$ are compatible. In fact, it is enough to verify that natural morphisms

$$F^pW^kG'^qG^iM_{U\to V}^j\to F^pW^kG'^q\mathrm{Gr}_G^iM_{U\to V}^j$$

are surjective by [14, 1.2.12]. But this follows from the compatibility of the filtrations F,G,W on $M_{U\to V}^j$, because the latter implies the surjectivity of

$$F^pW^kG^iM_{U\to V}^j\to F^pW^k\mathrm{Gr}_G^iM_{U\to V}^j$$

by loc. cit, and $G'^qG^iM_{U\to V}^j$ is the inverse image of $G'^q\mathrm{Gr}_G^iM_{U\to V}^j$ by the projection $G^iM_{U\to V}^j\to\mathrm{Gr}_G^iM_{U\to V}^j$.

Similar argument applies to the dual notion Dec^*G. See (A.3).

(iv) Let $\mathcal{C},\mathcal{A}$ be as in (A.1) where (A.2.2) is assumed. Let (K,W) be a complex of $\mathcal{C}$ with an increasing finite filtration W. Then for $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, W_kK is strict if $W_{k-1}K,\mathrm{Gr}_k^WK$ are strict and the morphism $H^j\mathrm{Gr}_k^WK\to H^{j+1}W_{k-1}K$ associated with the distinguished triangle

$$\to W_{k-1}K\to W_kK\to\mathrm{Gr}_k^WK\to\quad\text{in }\mathcal{A}$$

is a strict morphism in $\mathcal{C}$.

Assume W_kK and Gr_k^WK are strict and $H^j\mathrm{Gr}_k^WK \to H^{j+1}W_{k-1}K$ are strict morphisms of $\mathcal{C}$ for any k. Then the induced filtration W on the canonical truncation $\tau_{\le m}K$ in $\mathcal{A}$ gives a filtration in $\mathcal{C}$ (i.e., $\mathrm{Gr}_k^W\tau_{\le m}K^m \in \mathcal{C}$, where $\tau_{\le m}K^m = \mathrm{Ker}\, d^m$). This follows from the snake lemma applied to

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & W_{k-1}K^j \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & W_kK^j \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Gr}_k^WK^j \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & W_{k-1}K^{j+1} \arrow[r] & W_kK^{j+1} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Gr}_k^WK^{j+1} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

because $\mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{Gr}_k^Wd^j \to \mathrm{Coker}\,W_{k-1}d^j$ is factorized by $H^j\mathrm{Gr}_k^WK \to H^{j+1}W_{k-1}K$, and

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{Gr}_k^Wd^j \to H^j\mathrm{Gr}_k^WK, \quad H^{j+1}W_{k-1}K \to \mathrm{Coker}\,W_{k-1}d^j$$

are strictly surjective or injective morphisms.

We have furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}
H^jW_k\tau_{\le m}K &= H^jW_kK \text{ for } j \le m, \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise.}\\
H^m\mathrm{Gr}_k^W\tau_{\le m}K &= \mathrm{Coker}(H^mW_{k-1}K \to H^mW_kK)\\
&= \mathrm{Ker}(H^m\mathrm{Gr}_k^WK \to H^{m+1}W_{k-1}K)
\end{aligned}$$

using the morphism of long exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^mW_{k-1}\tau_{\le m}K \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & H^mW_k\tau_{\le m}K \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & H^m\mathrm{Gr}_k^W\tau_{\le m}K \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] \\
H^mW_{k-1}K \arrow[r] & H^mW_kK \arrow[r] & H^m\mathrm{Gr}_k^WK \arrow[r] & H^{m+1}W_{k-1}K.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We have the dual assertion using

$$\to \mathrm{Gr}_k^WK \to K/W_{k-1}K \to K/W_kK \to$$

instead of $\to W_{k-1}K \to W_kK \to \mathrm{Gr}_k^WK \to$.

2.10. Proposition. *Assume $X = pt$. Let $\mathrm{MHS}(A)^p$ be the category of (graded) polarizable mixed A-Hodge structures, which is naturally identified with $\mathrm{MHM}(pt, A)$. See [15]. Then a natural functor*

$$\varepsilon : D^b\mathrm{MHS}(A)^p \to D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(pt, A) \; (= D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(pt, A)_{\mathcal{D}})$$

in (2.7) (which is trivial in this case) is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. For a polarizable mixed A-Hodge structure H and a weak quasi-isomorphism $K \to L$ in $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(pt, A)$, we show the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{K^b}(H, K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{K^b}(H, L) \tag{2.10.1}$$

where K^b means $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(pt,A)$, and $\mathrm{MHS}(A)^p$ is naturally identified with a subcategory of $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(pt,A)$. Let A^H be the Hodge structure of type $(0,0)$ whose underlying A-module is A. Then the assertion is reduced to the case $H=A^H$ by replacing K with $H^*\otimes K$, where H^* is the dual mixed Hodge structure of H, and $L:=H^*\otimes K$ is defined by $L_\Lambda=H^*_\Lambda\otimes K_\Lambda$ for $\Lambda=F,A,\mathbb{C}$. (Here $H_F=H_{\mathbb{C}}$.) Since $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(pt,A)$ is a triangulated category, it is enough to show that if K is weakly acyclic, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{K^b}(A^H,K)=0. \tag{2.10.2}$$

Let $u=(u_F,u_A,u_{\mathbb{C}},u'_F,u'_A):A^H\to K$ be a morphism of $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)$, and put

$$m_\Lambda=u_\Lambda 1\ (\Lambda=F,A,\mathbb{C}),\quad m'_\Lambda=u'_\Lambda 1\ (\Lambda=F,A).$$

Then $m=(m_F,m_A,m_{\mathbb{C}},m'_F,m'_A)$ belongs to

$$F_0W_0K^0_F\oplus W_0K^0_A\oplus W_0K^0_{\mathbb{C}}\oplus W_0K^{-1}_{\mathbb{C}}\oplus W_0K^{-1}_{\mathbb{C}},$$

and satisfies

$$dm_\Lambda=0\ (\Lambda=F,A,\mathbb{C}),\quad \alpha_\Lambda m_\Lambda-m_{\mathbb{C}}=dm'_\Lambda\ (\Lambda=F,A),$$

where α_A denotes also its composition with the natural inclusion $K_A\to K_{A,\mathbb{C}}$.

Let $h=(h_F,h_A,h_{\mathbb{C}},h'_F,h'_A):A^H\to K[-1]$ be a homotopy, and put

$$n_\Lambda=h_\Lambda 1\ (\Lambda=F,A,\mathbb{C}),\quad n'_\Lambda=h'_\Lambda 1\ (\Lambda=F,A).$$

Let $W'=\mathrm{Dec}\,W$, $W''=\mathrm{Dec}^*W$. See (A.3). Then $n=(n_F,n_A,n_{\mathbb{C}},n'_F,n'_A)$ belongs to

$$F_0W'_0K^{-1}_F\oplus W'_0K^{-1}_A\oplus W'_0K^{-1}_{\mathbb{C}}\oplus W_1K^{-2}_{\mathbb{C}}\oplus W_1K^{-2}_{\mathbb{C}},$$

and satisfies

$$\alpha_\Lambda n_\Lambda-n_{\mathbb{C}}+dn'_\Lambda\in W_0K^{-1}_{\mathbb{C}}\ (\Lambda=F,A).$$

By (2.1.2), the condition $u=dh+hd$ corresponds to the relation

$$m_\Lambda=dn_\Lambda\ (\Lambda=F,A,\mathbb{C}),\quad m'_\Lambda=dn'_\Lambda+\alpha_\Lambda n_\Lambda-n_{\mathbb{C}}\ (\Lambda=F,A).$$

Let Z (resp. R) denote the group consisting of m (resp. n) satisfying the above conditions. Then we have the morphism $\phi:R\to Z$ by the above relation so that $\mathrm{Coker}\,\phi$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}_{K^b}(A^H,K)$. We have furthermore

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{K^b}(A^H,K)=\mathrm{Coker}\,\phi=\mathrm{Coker}\,\phi'. \tag{2.10.3}$$

where the morphism $\phi':R'\to Z'$ is defined by

$$(\tilde n_F,\tilde n_A,\tilde n')\to(d\tilde n_F,d\tilde n_A,d\tilde n'+\alpha_F\tilde n_F-\alpha_A\tilde n_A),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}Z' =& \big\{(\tilde m_F, \tilde m_A, \tilde m') \in F_0W_0K_F^0 \oplus W_0K_A^0 \oplus W_0K_{\mathbb C}^{-1} :\\ &\qquad d\tilde m_\Lambda = 0\ (\Lambda = F, A), \quad \alpha_F\tilde m_F - \alpha_A\tilde m_A - d\tilde m' = 0\big\},\\ R' =& \big\{(\tilde n_F, \tilde n_A, \tilde n') \in F_0W'_0K_F^{-1} \oplus W'_0K_A^{-1} \oplus W_1K_{\mathbb C}^{-2} :\\ &\qquad d\tilde n' + \alpha_F\tilde n_F - \alpha_A\tilde n_A \in W_0K_{\mathbb C}^{-1}\big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the conditions imply

$$\alpha_F\tilde m_F - \alpha_A\tilde m_A \in W''_{-1}K_{\mathbb C}^0, \quad \alpha_F\tilde n_F - \alpha_A\tilde n_A \in W''_{-1}K_{\mathbb C}^{-1}.$$

For the proof of (2.10.3) we have the surjective morphisms $q_Z : Z \to Z', q_R : R \to R'$ defined by

$$m \to (m_F, m_A, m'_F - m'_A)\ \text{(same for } n).$$

so that $q_Z\phi = \phi' q_R$ and $\phi : \operatorname{Ker} q_R \to \operatorname{Ker} q_Z$ is surjective.

Now we have to show $\operatorname{Coker}\phi' = 0$ if K is weakly acyclic. Take $(\tilde m_F, \tilde m_A, \tilde m') \in Z'$. By definition of W', W'' we have

$$W'_kK_\Lambda^i / W''_{k-1}K_\Lambda^i = H^i\mathrm{Gr}_{k-i}^W K_\Lambda.$$

It is the E_1-term of the weight spectral sequence (2.3.1), and the E_1-complex is acyclic by the acyclicity of K and the E_2-degeneration of the spectral sequence. By definition of Z', the images of $\tilde m_F$ and $\tilde m_A$ in $H^0\mathrm{Gr}_0^W K_{\mathbb C}$ coincide, and d_1 of the spectral sequence is a morphism of Hodge structures. So we may assume

$$\tilde m_F \in F^0W''_{-1}K_F^0, \quad \tilde m_A \in W''_{-1}K_A^0,$$

by replacing $\tilde m$ with $\tilde m - \phi'(\tilde n)$ for some $\tilde n = \{\tilde n_F, \tilde n_A, \tilde n_{\mathbb C}\} \in R'$ such that

$$\tilde n_F \in F^0W'_0K_F^{-1}, \quad \tilde n_A \in W'_0K_A^{-1}.$$

In fact, we have $\tilde n_F \in F_0W'_0K_F^{-1}$, $\tilde n_A \in W'_0K_A^{-1}$ such that $\tilde m_\Lambda - d\tilde n_\Lambda \in W''_{-1}$ for $\Lambda = F, A$ and the images of $\tilde n_F$, $\tilde n_A$ in $H^{-1}\mathrm{Gr}_1^W K_{\mathbb C}$ coincide, i.e.,

$$\alpha_F\tilde n_F - \alpha_A\tilde n_A \in W''_{-1}H_{\mathbb C}^{-1} = d(W_1K_{\mathbb C}^{-2}) + W_0K_{\mathbb C}^{-1}.$$

Then we can choose $\tilde n'$ such that the condition of R' is satisfied.

We may assume furthermore $\tilde m_\Lambda = 0$ for $\Lambda = F, A$ by replacing $\tilde m$ with $\tilde m - \phi'(\tilde n)$, because $F^0W''_0K_F$, W''_0K_A are acyclic, and $d(F^0W''_{-1}K_F^{-1}) = d(F^0W_0K_F^{-1})$, etc. Then the assertion follows from the acyclicity of $W''_{-1}K_{\mathbb C}$. This proves (2.10.1–2).

So we get the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{K^b}(H, K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{D^b}(H, K) \tag{2.10.4}$$

for $H \in \mathrm{MHS}(A)^p$, $K \in C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(pt, A)$, where D^b means $D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(pt, A)$. Then using the truncation τ in (2.9), it is enough to show

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Hom}_{K^b}(H', H[i]) = 0 \quad \text{for } i > 1, \\ &\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MHS}(A)^p}(H', H) = \mathrm{Hom}_{K^b}(H', H), \\ &\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathrm{MHS}(A)^p}(H', H) = \mathrm{Hom}_{K^b}(H', H[1]), \end{aligned} \tag{2.10.5}$$

for $H, H' \in \mathrm{MHS}(A)^p$. Here we may assume $H' = A^H$ as before. Then the first two assertions are clear by (2.10.3), and the last is reduced to the following :

For $H = ((H_{\mathbb{C}}; F, W), (H_A, W)) \in \mathrm{MHS}(A)^p$, we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathrm{MHS}(A)^p}(A^H, H) = \mathrm{Coker}((F^0W_0H_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus W_0H_A)^{(0)} \to W_{-1}H_{\mathbb{C}}),$$

where $(F^0W_0H_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus W_0H_A)^{(0)} = \mathrm{Ker}((F^0W_0H_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus W_0H_A) \to \mathrm{Gr}^W_0 H_{\mathbb{C}})$.

This can be verified, for example, by using

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathrm{MHS}(A)^p}(A^H, W^{-1}H) = \mathrm{Coker}((F^0W_{-1}H_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus W_{-1}H_A) \to W_{-1}H_{\mathbb{C}}),$$

(see [3], [13])) together with the long exact sequence associated with $0 \to W_{-1}H \to W_0H \to \mathrm{Gr}^W_0 H \to 0$. See also [1, 2.2].

We can show that the last isomorphism of (2.10.5) is actually induced by the functor ε, by using the quasi-isomorphism $H[1] \to C(\tilde{H} \to A^H)$, where $0 \to H \to \tilde{H} \to A^H \to 0$ is an exact sequence of $\mathrm{MHS}(A)^p$.

Remark. The reader may notice the difference between the proofs of (2.10) and [1, 3.4]. In the latter, $\mathrm{Hom}_{K^b}(H, K)$ depends on the resolution of K, and the effaceability of Γ^1 in loc. cit is shown by taking a good resolution of K. The mixed Hodge complexes on *pt* in this paper are closer to those in [4] and also to $\tilde{p}$-Hodge complexes in [1]. It may be easier to prove Lemma 3.11 in [1] by showing the effaceability of Γ^1 as in the proof of 3.4, than showing that the constructed functor is a right quasi-inverse.

Appendix to §2

We review here some facts from the theories of compatible filtrations [14] and the realization functor [2].

A.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category. We say that $E_1, \dots, E_n$ are compatible subobjects of $E \in \mathcal{A}$ if there exists an n-ple complex of short exact sequences $\{K^\nu\}$ (i.e., $K^\nu = 0$ if $|\nu_i| > 1$ for some i, and $K^{\nu - 1_i} \to K^\nu \to K^{\nu + 1_i}$ are exact for any $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$) such that

$$K^0 = E, \quad K^{-1_i} = E_i,$$

where the j-th component of $1_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is $\delta_{i,j}$. We say that filtrations $F_1, \dots, F_n$ of $E \in \mathcal{A}$ are compatible filtrations, if $F_1^{p_1}E, \dots, F_n^{p_n}E$ are compatible subobjects of E for any

$p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $F_n^c(\mathcal{A})$ denote the additive category of objects of $\mathcal{A}$ with compatible n filtrations. We say that

$$0 \to (M'; F_1, \dots, F_n) \to (M; F_1, \dots, F_n) \to (M''; F_1, \dots, F_n) \to 0$$

is a short exact sequence of $F_n^c(\mathcal{A})$ if

$$0 \to F_1^{p_1} \dots F_n^{p_n} M' \to F_1^{p_1} \dots F_n^{p_n} M \to F_1^{p_1} \dots F_n^{p_n} M'' \to 0$$

are exact in $\mathcal{A}$ for any $-\infty \le p_i < +\infty$. Then $F_n^c(\mathcal{A})$ is an exact category with those exact sequences. See (A.2) below.

For $(M; F_1, \dots, F_n) \in F_n^c(\mathcal{A})$ and $p_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we see that there exists an n-ple complex of short exact sequences $\{K^\nu\}$ such that

$$F_1^{p_1} \dots F_n^{p_n} M = K^0, \quad F_1^{p_1} \dots F_i^{p_i+1} \dots F_n^{p_n} M = K^{-1_i}.$$

This implies the commutativity of $\mathrm{Gr}_{F_i}^{p_i}$ $(1 \le i \le n)$, because the restriction to $\nu_i = 1$ corresponds to the passage to $\mathrm{Gr}_{F_i}^{p_i}$.

A.2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an exact category. We choose and fix an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{C}$ is an additive full subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$ which is stable by extensions in $\mathcal{A}$, and the short exact sequences of $\mathcal{C}$ are the short exact sequences of $\mathcal{A}$ whose components belong to $\mathcal{C}$. A morphism u of $\mathcal{C}$ is called strict if it has a factorization $u''u'$ such that u' is a strict epimorphism and u'' is a strict monomorphism, or equivalently, if $\mathrm{Ker}\, u, \mathrm{Im}\, u, \mathrm{Coker}\, u$ in $\mathcal{A}$ belong to $\mathcal{C}$.

A filtration F of $E \in \mathcal{C}$ is a filtration of E in $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$F^p E / F^q E \in \mathcal{C} \quad \text{for } -\infty \le p < q \le +\infty.$$

(See [14, 1.3.1].) Let $F(\mathcal{C})$ denote the category of filtered objects of $\mathcal{C}$, where

$$0 \to (E', F) \to (E, F) \to (E'', F) \to 0$$

is called exact if it is filtered exact in $\mathcal{A}$, or equivalently, if

$$0 \to F^p E' \to F^p E \to F^p E'' \to 0$$

are exact for any $-\infty \le p < +\infty$. Then $F(\mathcal{C})$ is also an exact category. In fact, $F(C)$ is a full subcategory of the abelian category $\tilde{F}(\mathcal{A})$ whose objects are $\{M^p\}_{p \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}} \in \prod \mathcal{A}$ with morphisms $M^p \to M^q$ $(\infty > p > q \ge -\infty)$ compatible with compositions. Let

$$F^n(\mathcal{C}) = F(F^{n-1}(\mathcal{C}))\ (n > 0), \quad F^0(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}.$$

(Similarly for $\tilde{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$.) Then $F^n(\mathcal{A})$ is a full subcategory of the abelian category $\tilde{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$ satisfying the above conditions.

If $\mathcal{C}$ is an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$, we have an equivalence of categories

$$F^n(\mathcal{A}) = F^c_n(\mathcal{A}) \tag{A.2.1}$$

in the notation of Remark above.

We say that a complex K of $\mathcal{C}$ is cohomologically strict relatively to $\mathcal{A}$ if H^jK in $\mathcal{A}$ belong to $\mathcal{C}$, and strict if $d^j : K^j \to K^{j+1}$ and $\operatorname{Im} d^{j-1} \to \operatorname{Ker}\, d^j$ are strict.

We assume for any complex K of $\mathcal{C}$:

$$K \text{ is strict if and only if } K \text{ is cohomologically strict relatively to } \mathcal{A}. \tag{A.2.2}$$

This condition is satisfied if $\mathcal{C}$ is $F^c_n(\mathcal{A})$ as above. See [14, 1.2.4].

Remark. In the case $n = 2$, for example, this notion of strictness (i.e., the bistrictness) is different from the separate strictness (i.e., the strictness for each filtration). If we define Ker, Coker, Im, Coim in $F^c_2(\mathcal{A}) = F_2(\mathcal{A})$ as usual, and define the strictness of a morphism by Im = Coim, then we get the separate strictness. For the correct definition of bistrictness, we cannot avoid the problem of three filtrations, because the third filtration is defined by Ker or Im. (This becomes necessary, for example, when we define the truncation τ on a mixed Hodge complex in the sense of [1, 3.2].)

A.3. With the above notation and assumptions, let K be a complex of $\mathcal{C}$ with a decreasing filtration G. Assume

$$\operatorname{Gr}^i_G d : \operatorname{Gr}^i_G K^j \to \operatorname{Gr}^i_G K^{j+1} \text{ are strict for any } i, j. \tag{A.3.1}$$

Then $\operatorname{Dec} G$ is defined by

$$(\operatorname{Dec} G)^i K^j = \operatorname{Ker}(d : G^{i+j}K^j \to \operatorname{Gr}^{i+j}_G K^{j+1}). \tag{A.3.2}$$

(See [4] in the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}$.) More precisely, it is defined in $\mathcal{A}$, and belongs to $\mathcal{C}$, because

$$\begin{gathered} G^{i+j+1}K^j \subset (\operatorname{Dec} G)^i K^j \subset G^{i+j}K^j \quad \text{with} \\ (\operatorname{Dec} G)^i K^j / G^{i+j+1}K^j = \operatorname{Ker} \operatorname{Gr}^{i+j}_G d, \\ G^{i+j}K^j / (\operatorname{Dec} G)^i K^j = \operatorname{Coim}\, \operatorname{Gr}^{i+j}_G d. \end{gathered}$$

(See also [14, 1.3.7].) Similarly $\operatorname{Dec}^* G$ is defined by

$$(\operatorname{Dec}^* G)^i K^j = G^{i+j}K^j + \operatorname{Im}(d : G^{i+j-1}K^{j-1} \to K^j), \tag{A.3.3}$$

and $(\operatorname{Dec} G)^i K \to (\operatorname{Dec}^* G)^i K$ is a quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{A}$. (This does not hold if $\operatorname{Gr}^i_G d$ are not strict. See (2.9).) Note that Dec (i.e. the passage of G to $\operatorname{Dec} G$) commutes with an exact functor from $\mathcal{C}$. For example, if $\mathcal{C}$ is the category of filtered objects (M, F) of an abelian category, Dec commutes with the functor $(M, F) \to F^pM/F^qM$.

Remarks. (i) Assume G is a finite filtration, and the complexes $\mathrm{Gr}_G^i K$ and (E_r, d_r) $(r \geq 1)$ of the spectral sequence associated with G (which is defined in $\mathcal{A}$) are strict so that the $E_r^{p,q}$ belong to $\mathcal{C}$ inductively. Then $E_\infty^{p,q} \in \mathcal{C}$ so that we have a spectral sequence in $\mathcal{C}$, and K is also strict by (A.2.2). (This is a generalization of the lemma on two filtrations in [4].)

(ii) If furthermore the spectral sequence degenerates at E_2, then $(K, \mathrm{Dec}\, G)$ is cohomologically strict, because it is strict as a filtered complex of $\mathcal{A}$ by the E_2-degeneration of the spectral sequence [4] and we have

$$\mathrm{Gr}^i_{\mathrm{Dec}\, G} H^j K = E_\infty^{i+j,-i} \in \mathcal{C} \tag{A.3.4}$$

so that $(H^j K, \mathrm{Dec}\, G) \in F(\mathcal{C})$. (This can be applied to $(K_{\mathcal{D}}; F, W)$ in (2.3) where $G = W, \mathcal{C} = MF(\mathcal{D}_X)$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is the category of graded $\bigoplus_p F_p\mathcal{D}_X$-Modules. Here X is assumed smooth by taking a local embedding, because the assertion is local.)

A.4. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category having enough injectives. We denote by $C^*(\mathcal{A}; G, W)$ the category of complexes of objects of $\mathcal{A}$ which satisfy the bounded condition corresponding to $* = +, -, b$, and have two finite filtrations G, W. We define $K^*(\mathcal{A}; G, W)$, $D^*(\mathcal{A}; G, W)$ as in [2], [21]. (Similarly for $C^*(\mathcal{A}; W)$, $K^*(\mathcal{A}; W)$, $D^*(\mathcal{A}; W)$, etc.) Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a triangulated full subcategory of $D^b(\mathcal{A})$ which has a t-structure whose heart is denoted by $\mathcal{C}$. See [2]. Let $D^b(\mathcal{A}; G_{\text{bête}}, W)$ denote the full subcategory of $D^b(\mathcal{A}; G, W)$ defined by the condition :

$$\mathrm{Gr}^j_G \mathrm{Gr}^k_W K[j] \in \mathcal{C} \tag{A.4.1}$$

for $(K; G, W) \in D^b(\mathcal{A}; G, W)$. This implies

$$\mathrm{Gr}^j_G (W^a/W^b) K[j] \in \mathcal{C},$$

and we get a filtration W on $\overline{K}^i := \mathrm{Gr}^i_G K[i] \in \mathcal{C}$ such that

$$(W^a/W^b)\overline{K}^i = \mathrm{Gr}^i_G (W^a/W^b) K[i] \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}. \tag{A.4.2}$$

Using the short exact sequence $0 \to \mathrm{Gr}_G^{i+1} \to G^i/G^{i+2} \to \mathrm{Gr}_G^i \to 0$, we have the morphism $d : (\overline{K}^i, W) \to (\overline{K}^{i+1}, W)$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $d^2 = 0$. See [2, 3.1.7]. (Note that, if the filtration G on K^i splits in a compatible way with W (by replacing $(K; G, W)$ with an injective resolution, see (A.7) below), then we get a representative of $d : (\overline{K}^i, W) \to (\overline{K}^{i+1}, W)$ as in the construction of φ in [21, 2.4].)

Thus we get a functor

$$D^b(\mathcal{A}; G_{\text{bête}}, W) \to C^b(\mathcal{C}; W) \tag{A.4.3}$$

such that W^a/W^b of the image of $(K; G, W)$ by (A.4.3) is naturally isomorphic to the image of $((W^a/W^b)K, G) \in D^b(\mathcal{A}; G_{\text{bête}})$ by the functor

$$D^b(\mathcal{A}; G_{\text{bête}}) \to C^b\mathcal{C} \tag{A.4.4}$$

in [2]. By the same argument as in [2, 3.1.8], (A.4.3) is an equivalence of categories. (See (A.5) below.) Taking the composition of the quasi-inverse of (A.4.3) with the forgetful functor which forget the filtration G, we get

$$C^b(\mathcal{C};W)\to D^b(\mathcal{A};W), \tag{A.4.5}$$

and this induces

$$D^b(\mathcal{C};W)\to D^b(\mathcal{A};W).$$

The argument is the same as in [2, 3.1.10]. In fact, (A.4.5) factors through $K^b(\mathcal{C};W)$ (using the morphism of the spectral sequences in (A.5)), and preserves mapping cones. So it is enough to show that if the image of $\mathrm{Gr}_W^k(K,G)$ by (A.4.4) is acyclic for $(K;G,W)\in D^b(\mathcal{A};G_{\text{bête}},W)$, then $\mathrm{Gr}_W^k K$ is acyclic. But this is proved in loc. cit.

A.5. Proposition. *With the above notation, the functor (A.4.3) is an equivalence of categories. (See [2, 3.1.8] for the case W is trivial.)*

Proof. Let $(K;G,W)\in C^b(\mathcal{A};G,W)$, $(L;G,W)\in C^+(\mathcal{A};G,W)$ such that they are isomorphic to objects of $D^b(\mathcal{A};G_{\text{bête}},W)$ in $D^+(\mathcal{A};G,W)$ and the components of $\mathrm{Gr}_G^j\mathrm{Gr}_W^k L$ are injective. Then we have

$$(\mathrm{Gr}_G^p\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(K,L),W)=\prod_{j-i=p}(\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathrm{Gr}_G^iK,\mathrm{Gr}_G^jL),W), \tag{A.5.1}$$

(see (A.7) below), where $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(K,L)$ is a bifiltered complex whose i^{th} component is $\prod_{m-n=i}\mathrm{Hom}(K^n,L^m)$, and has the filtrations G,W in the usual way. We have furthermore

$$\begin{gathered}\mathrm{Ext}^j_{D^+(\mathcal{A};W)}((K,W),(L,W[m]))=H^jW^m\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(K,L),\\ \mathrm{Ext}^j_{D^+(\mathcal{A};G,W)}((K;G,W),(L;G[k],W[m]))=H^jG^kW^m\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(K,L),\end{gathered} \tag{A.5.2}$$

where $\mathrm{Ext}^j_{D^+(\mathcal{A};W)}((K,W),(L,W[m]))=\mathrm{Hom}_{D^+(\mathcal{A};W)}((K,W),(L[j],W[m]))$, etc. So we get spectral sequences

$$\begin{aligned}E_1^{p,q}&=\prod_{j-i=p}\mathrm{Ext}^q_{D^+(\mathcal{A};W)}(\mathrm{Gr}_G^i(K,W)[i],\mathrm{Gr}_G^j(L,W)[j])\\ &\qquad\qquad\Rightarrow\mathrm{Ext}^{p+q}_{D^+(\mathcal{A};W)}((K,W),(L,W)),\\ E_1^{p,q}&=(\text{same as above if } p\ge k,\ \text{ and } 0\ \text{ otherwise})\\ &\qquad\qquad\Rightarrow\mathrm{Ext}^{p+q}_{D^+(\mathcal{A};G,W)}((K;G,W),(L;G[k],W)),\end{aligned} \tag{A.5.3}$$

where $E_1^{p,q}=0$ for $q<0$. Combining this with (A.6) below, we get the full faithfulness of (A.4.3). (Here $k=0$ in the above spectral sequence.) We can verify the essential surjectivity of (A.4.3) by an argument similar to the second solution in [2, 3.1.8].

A.6. Lemma. *With the notation and assumption of (A.4), let* $(K,W),(L,W)\in D^b(\mathcal{A};W)$ *such that* $\mathrm{Gr}_W^k K, \mathrm{Gr}_W^k L\in\mathcal{C}$ *for any* k*. Then* $K,L\in\mathcal{C}$*, and* W *determines a filtration* $\widetilde{W}$ *on* K,L *in* $\mathcal{C}$*, so that a natural morphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{A};W)}((K,W),(L,W))\to\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}((K,\widetilde{W}),(L,\widetilde{W}))\tag{A.6.1}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let

$$k=\min\{i\in\mathbb{Z}:\mathrm{Gr}_W^i K\ne 0\}.$$

Then we may assume $W^kL=L$ (replacing L with W^kL if necessary), because any filtered quasi-isomorphism $(K',W)\to(K,W)$ may be replaced with its composition with $(W^kK',W)\to(K',W)$. We prove the bijectivity of (A.6.1) by induction on the length of the filtration W on K. Here we may assume that $\mathrm{Gr}_W^k L^j$ are injective objects of $\mathcal{A}$.

Assume first W on K is trivial, i.e., $\mathrm{Gr}_W^i K=0$ for $i\ne k$. In this case we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{A};W)}((K,W),(L,W))=\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b\mathcal{A}}(K,L),$$

because $D^b(\mathcal{A};W), D^b\mathcal{A}$ can be replaced with $K^b(\mathcal{A};W), K^b\mathcal{A}$ by assumption on (L,W). We have a similar isomorphism for $(K,\widetilde{W}),(L,\widetilde{W})$, and the assertion follows.

In general, let $K'=W^{k+1}K, K''=\mathrm{Gr}_W^k K$ so that we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}0&\to\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{A};W)}((K'',W),(L,W))\to\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{A};W)}((K,W),(L,W))\\&\to\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{A};W)}((K',W),(L,W))\to\mathrm{Ext}^1_{D^b(\mathcal{A};W)}((K'',W),(L,W)),\end{aligned}$$

and the last term is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{D^b\mathcal{A}}(K'',L)$ by the same argument as above (using the injectivity of (L,W)).

On the other hand, we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}0&\to\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}((K'',\widetilde{W}),(L,\widetilde{W}))\to\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}((K,\widetilde{W}),(L,\widetilde{W}))\\&\to\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}((K',\widetilde{W}),(L,\widetilde{W}))\to\mathrm{Ext}^1_{D^b(\mathcal{C};\widetilde{W})}((K'',\widetilde{W}),(L,\widetilde{W})),\end{aligned}$$

and the last term is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(K'',L)$. Since we have a natural morphism between the exact sequences, the assertion follows from the inductive hypothesis.

A.7. Proposition. *Let* $F_n^c(\mathcal{A})$ *be as in (A.1). Assume the filtrations* $F_1,\dots,F_n$ *are finite. Let*

$$E=(M;F_1,\dots,F_n)\in F_n^c(\mathcal{A})$$

such that $\mathrm{Gr}_{F_1}^{p_1}\dots\mathrm{Gr}_{F_n}^{p_n}M$ *are injective. Then it is an injective object of* $F_n^c(\mathcal{A})$ *(i.e.* $\mathrm{Hom}(E_2,E)\to\mathrm{Hom}(E_1,E)$ *is surjective for any strict monomorphism* $E_1\to E_2$ *of* $F_n^c(\mathcal{A})$*),*

M has a decomposition $\bigoplus_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}^n} M_\nu$ such that $F_1^{p_1}\dots F_n^{p_n}M$ is the direct sum of M_ν for $\nu_i \ge p_i$, and

$$(\mathrm{Gr}^p_{F_n}\mathrm{Hom}(M',M), F_i\ (i\ne n)) = \prod_{j-i=p}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gr}^i_{F_n}M', \mathrm{Gr}^j_{F_n}M), F_i\ (i\ne n))$$

for $E'=(M';F_1,\dots,F_n)\in F_n^c(\mathcal{A})$, where $\mathrm{Hom}(M,M')$ has the filtration $F_1,\dots,F_n$ as usual.

Proof. The assertions follow by induction on n. If the assertions hold for $n-1$, we get the decomposition by induction on the length of F_n using a splitting of the exact sequence

$$0\to(F_n^{p+1}M, F_i\ (i\ne n))\to(F_n^pM, F_i\ (i\ne n))\to(\mathrm{Gr}^p_{F_n}M, F_i\ (i\ne n))\to 0.$$

Then the third assertion follows, and then the first.

Remark. The first assertion implies the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{K^+}(E,E')=\mathrm{Hom}_{D^+}(E,E') \tag{A.7.1}$$

for complexes $E=(K,F_1,\dots,F_n)$, $E'=(K',F_1,\dots,F_n)$ of $F_n^c(\mathcal{A})$ such that E is bounded, E' is bounded below, and $\mathrm{Gr}^{p_1}_{F_1}\dots\mathrm{Gr}^{p_n}_{F_n}K'^i$ are injective. (In fact, the left-hand side is zero if E is strictly acyclic.) Here $\mathrm{Hom}_{K^+}(E,E')$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{D^+}(E,E')$ mean the group of morphisms in $K^+F_n^c(\mathcal{A})$, $D^+F_n^c(\mathcal{A})$ respectively.

3. Geometric Complexes

In this section, we define the mixed A-Hodge complex $\mathcal{C}^H_{X\langle D\rangle}A$ on a complex algebraic variety with a closed subvariety D

3.1. Let k be a field, and $\mathcal{V}_k$ the category of varieties over k. Let A be a commutative ring. We denote by $\mathcal{V}_k^A$ the A-linear category consisting of varieties X over k such that the group of morphisms $\mathrm{Hom}_k^A(X,Y)$ is the free A-module $\bigoplus_{f_{j,i}}A[f_{j,i}]$ with basis the morphisms $f_{j,i}$ of varieties over k from a connected component X_i of X to a connected component Y_j of Y. The composition is defined by $[g_{k,j'}]\circ[f_{j,i}]=[g_{k,j}f_{j,i}]$ if $j=j'$ and zero otherwise. We have a natural functor $\iota^A:\mathcal{V}_k\to\mathcal{V}_k^A$ such that $\iota^A(X)=X$ and $\iota^A(f)=\sum[f_{j(i),i}]$ where the $f_{j(i),i}:X_i\to Y_{j(i)}$ denote the restrictions of $f:X\to Y$ to the connected components of X, Y.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a category such that its objects form a finite set, $\mathrm{Hom}(a,b)$ are finite for $a,b\in\mathcal{B}$, and $\mathrm{Hom}(b,b)=\{id\}$ for $b\in\mathcal{B}$. We assume that the objects of $\mathcal{B}$ permit an ordering such that $\mathrm{Hom}(a,b)=\emptyset$ unless $a\geqq b$.

A $k_{\mathcal{B}}$-variety $X_{\mathcal{B}}=\{X_b\}$ is by definition a covariant functor γ_X from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{V}_k$, where $X_b=\gamma_X(b)$. A proper $k_{\mathcal{B}}$-variety over $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a $k_{\mathcal{B}}$-variety $Y_{\mathcal{B}}$ with a functorial morphism $\pi:Y_{\mathcal{B}}\to X_{\mathcal{B}}$ such that $\pi_b:Y_b\to X_b$ is proper for any $b\in\mathcal{B}$. An A-linear morphism of proper $k_{\mathcal{B}}$-varieties $Y_{\mathcal{B}} \to Y'_{\mathcal{B}}$ over $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a functorial morphism $\iota^A\gamma_Y \to \iota^A\gamma_{Y'}$, where we assume that the functorial morphisms $Y_b \to Y'_b$ in $\mathcal{V}_k^A$ are given by A-linear combinations of $[f_{b,i,j}]$ such that the $f_{b,i,j}$ commute with the restrictions of π_b to the connected components of Y_b, Y'_b. We denote by $\mathcal{V}_k^A(X_{\mathcal{B}})$ the A-linear category of proper $k_{\mathcal{B}}$-varieties over $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ with A-linear functorial morphisms. The direct sum is given by the disjoint union, and the zero object by the empty set.

Let $C(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X_{\mathcal{B}}))$ denote the category of complexes of $\mathcal{V}_k^A(X_{\mathcal{B}})$, and $K(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X_{\mathcal{B}}))$ the category whose groups of morphisms are divided by the subgroups of morphisms which are homotopic to zero. We have also $C^*(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X_{\mathcal{B}}))$, $K^*(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X_{\mathcal{B}}))$ for $* = +, -, b$ as in [21]. Then $K(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X_{\mathcal{B}}))$ and $K^*(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X_{\mathcal{B}}))$ are triangulated categories by loc. cit.

Let D_b be closed subvarieties of X_b such that the $X_b \setminus D_b$ are stable by $\gamma_X(u)$ for morphisms u of $\mathcal{B}$. We say that $Y_{\mathcal{B}}$ is nonsingular over $X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$ if the Y_b are nonsingular and the $(\pi_b^{-1}(D_b))_{\mathrm{red}}$ are locally either divisors with normal crossings or connected components, where $\pi_b : Y_b \to X_b$ is the morphism given by $\pi : Y_{\mathcal{B}} \to X_{\mathcal{B}}$. We denote by $\mathcal{V}_k^A(X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}})^{ns}$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{V}_k^A(X_{\mathcal{B}})$ consisting of such objects. Then we can define $C^*(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}})^{ns})$, $K^*(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}})^{ns})$ as above.

Assume $k = \mathbb{C}$. Let $C^+(X_b^{\mathrm{an}}, A)$ denote the category of complexes of A-Modules which are bounded below on the associated analytic space X_b^{an}. For $b \in \mathcal{B}$, we have a contravariant additive functor

$$\mathbf{R}\pi_{b,*}^A : \mathcal{V}_k^A(X_{\mathcal{B}}) \to C^+(X_b^{\mathrm{an}}, A)$$

by associating $(\pi_b)_*C^\bullet(A_{Y_b})$ to Y_b where $\pi_b : Y_b \to X_b$ is as above, and $C^\bullet(A_{Y_b})$ is the canonical flasque resolution of Godement of the constant sheaf A_{Y_b} on Y_b^{an}. It induces the contravariant additive functors

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{R}\pi_{b,*}^A &: C^+(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X_{\mathcal{B}})) \to C^+(X_b^{\mathrm{an}}, A),\\ \mathbf{R}\pi_{b,*}^A &: K^+(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X_{\mathcal{B}})) \to K^+(X_b^{\mathrm{an}}, A).\end{aligned}$$

The image of $Y_{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet \in K^+(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X_{\mathcal{B}}))$ by $\mathbf{R}\pi_{b,*}^A$ is denoted by $\mathbf{R}(\pi_b)_*A_{Y_b^\bullet}$. We say that $Y_{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet \in K^+(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X_{\mathcal{B}}))$ is A-acyclic over $X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$, if the $\mathbf{R}(\pi_b)_*A_{Y_b^\bullet}$ are acyclic over $X_b^{\mathrm{an}} \setminus D_b^{\mathrm{an}}$ for $b \in \mathcal{B}$, and a morphism $Y_{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet \to Y_{\mathcal{B}}'^\bullet$ of $\mathcal{V}_k^A(X_{\mathcal{B}})$ is an A-quasi-isomorphism over $X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$, if its mapping cone is A-acyclic over $X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$. Then inverting A-quasi-isomorphisms over $X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$ of $K^*(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X_{\mathcal{B}}))$ and $K^*(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}})^{ns})$, we get $D^*(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}}))$, $D^*(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}})^{ns})$.

If $|\mathcal{B}| = 1$ (i.e., if $\mathcal{B}$ consists of one object), then $X_{\mathcal{B}}$, $X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$ will be denoted by X, $X\langle D\rangle$.

Remark. Constructions similar to $C(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X_{\mathcal{B}}))$ seem to be well-known in the theory of motives, where $[f_{j,i}]$ is replaced by a cycle on $Y \times Y'$. In the Hodge setting, however, we cannot replace $[f_{j,i}]$ with a cycle, because we would have to take further a simplicial resolution of the cycle (if it is singular), and the push-down (i.e. the Gysin) morphism of mixed Hodge complexes is not easy to construct at the level of complexes in general.

3.2. Proposition. *For any $Y_{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet \in C^b(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X_{\mathcal{B}}))$, we have an A-quasi-isomorphism $Y_{\mathcal{B}}'^\bullet \to Y_{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet$ over $X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$ such that $Y_{\mathcal{B}}'^\bullet$ is nonsingular over $X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$. So we have an equivalence of categories $D^b(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}})^{ns}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}}))$.*

Proof. By the same argument as in [9, I, 2.6], we have $Y'^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{B}} \to Y^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{B}}$ in $C^b(\mathcal{V}^A_k(X_{\mathcal{B}}))$ such that $Y'^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is nonsingular over $X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$, and it is given by a desingularization $Y'^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{B}} \to Y^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{B}}$ in a generalized sense (i.e., it is proper surjective, the Y'^k_b are nonsingular, and there exists dense open subvarieties of Y^k_b which are isomorphic to their inverse images in Y'^k_b). Then the Y^k_b have closed subvarieties Z^k_b such that $Y^k_b\backslash Z^k_b$ are isomorphic to their inverse images in Y'^k_b, the Z^k_b are stable by the morphisms of $\mathcal{B}$ and also by the morphisms $f_{j,i}$ appearing in the differential of $Y^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{B}}$, and $\max\dim Z^k_b < \max\dim Y^k_b$. Let Z'^k_b be the inverse image of Z^k_b in Y'^k_b. Then the associated single complex of

$$Z'^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{B}} \to Z^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{B}} \oplus Y'^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{B}} \to Y^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{B}} \tag{3.2.1}$$

is A-acyclic, and we can proceed by induction on $\dim Y^{\bullet}$ as in loc. cit. The second assertion is clear by [21].

3.3. Let X be a complex algebraic variety, and D a closed subvariety of X. Assume A is a subfield of $\mathbb{R}$. Applying (3.2) to the case $|\mathcal{B}| = 1$, there exists an A-quasi-isomorphism $Y^{\bullet} \to X$ over $X\langle D\rangle$ such that $Y^{\bullet} \in C^b(\mathcal{V}^A_k(X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}})^{ns})$. We define an A-mixed Hodge complex

$$\mathcal{C}^H_{X\langle D\rangle}A = ((\tilde{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{X\langle D\rangle}; F, W), (\tilde{A}_{X\langle D\rangle}, W), (\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_{X\langle D\rangle}, W); \alpha_F, \alpha_A)$$

by

$$\begin{aligned}((\tilde{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{X\langle D\rangle}; F, W) &= \pi_*C^{\bullet}_{\le r}(\Omega_{Y^{\bullet}}(\log \pi^{-1}D); F, W),\\ (\tilde{A}_{X\langle D\rangle}, W) &= \pi_*(j_*j^*C^{\bullet}_{\le r}(A_{Y^{\bullet},\mathrm{an}}), W),\\ (\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_{X\langle D\rangle}, W) &= \pi_*(j_*j^*C^{\bullet}_{\le r}(\Omega_{Y^{\bullet},\mathrm{an}}), W),\end{aligned}$$

for r large enough. Here $\pi : Y^{\bullet} \to X$ and $j : \pi^{-1}(X\backslash D) \to Y^{\bullet}$ denote natural morphisms, and $C^{\bullet}_{\le r}$ is the truncation $\tau_{\le r}$ of the canonical flasque resolution of Godement (with respect to the Zariski or the classical topologies) and is applied to each component of $\Omega_{Y^{\bullet}}(\log \pi^{-1}D)$, etc. (More precisely, $\tilde{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{X\langle D\rangle}$ is the associated single complex of a triple complex, and the differential with respect to the index of $Y^{\bullet}$ is induced by the differential of $Y^{\bullet}$.) The filtration F on $\Omega_{Y^i}(\log \pi^{-1}D)$ is the filtration "bête" σ of [4] (where $F_p = F^{-p}$), and W_k on $\Omega_{Y^i}(\log \pi^{-1}D), j_*j^*C^{\bullet}_{\le r}(A_{Y^i,\mathrm{an}})$ is defined by

$$W_{k+i}\Omega_{Y^i}(\log \pi^{-1}D), \quad \tau_{\le k+i}j_*j^*C^{\bullet}_{\le r}(A_{Y^i,\mathrm{an}}),$$

(similarly for $j_*j^*C^{\bullet}_{\le r}(\Omega_{Y^i,\mathrm{an}})$). The morphisms α_F, α_A are induced by natural morphisms

$$(C^{\bullet}_{\le r}(\Omega_{Y^{\bullet}}(\log \pi^{-1}D)))^{\mathrm{an}} \to j_*j^*C^{\bullet}_{\le r}(\Omega_{Y^{\bullet},\mathrm{an}}), \quad A_{Y^{\bullet},\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{C}_{Y^{\bullet},\mathrm{an}} \to \Omega_{Y^{\bullet},\mathrm{an}}.$$

The condition of mixed Hodge complex is satisfied by using the stability by the direct images under proper morphisms (2.8). We have the independence of the choice of $Y^{\bullet}$ by (3.2). The underlying A-complex $\tilde{A}_{X\langle D\rangle}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}j_*j^*A_{X^{\mathrm{an}}}$.

Applying (3.2) to the case $\mathcal{B}$ is an ordered set $\{0,1\}$, we see that $\mathcal{C}^H_{X\langle D\rangle}A$ is functorial for (X,D), i.e., we have a natural morphism

$$\mathcal{C}^H_{X\langle D\rangle}A \to g_*\mathcal{C}^H_{X'\langle D'\rangle}A \tag{3.3.1}$$

for a morphism $g : X' \to X$ such that $g^{-1}D \subset D'$, where D' is a closed subvariety of X'. Furthermore we get its (shifted) mapping cone which is denoted by $\mathcal{C}^H_{X\langle D\rangle\leftarrow X'\langle D'\rangle}A$.

In fact, we have a $k_{\mathcal{B}}$-variety $X_{\mathcal{B}} = \{X_b\}$ such that $X_0 = X$, $X_1 = X'$, where $\mathcal{B} = \{0,1\}$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(1,0)$ consists of one element corresponding to g. By (3.2) we have an A-quasi-isomorphism $Y^\bullet_{\mathcal{B}} \to X_{\mathcal{B}}$ over $X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$ such that the $Y^i_{\mathcal{B}}$ are nonsingular over $X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$. So the morphism (3.3.1) and its shifted mapping cone are defined canonically by using $Y^\bullet_{\mathcal{B}}$ (where the degree of the first term of the shifted mapping cone is zero). By (3.2), $\mathcal{C}^H_{X\langle D\rangle\leftarrow X'\langle D'\rangle}A$ is functorial for (X,D), (X',D').

If X' is a closed subvariety Z of X such that $D' = Z\cap D$, then $\mathcal{C}^H_{X\langle D\rangle\leftarrow X'\langle D'\rangle}A$, $\tilde\Omega^\bullet_{X\langle D\rangle\leftarrow X'\langle D'\rangle}$ are denoted by $\mathcal{C}^H_{X\langle D\rangle,Z}A$, $\tilde\Omega^\bullet_{X\langle D\rangle,Z}$. They are denoted by $\mathcal{C}^H_{X\leftarrow X'}A$, $\tilde\Omega^\bullet_{X\leftarrow X'}$, if D, D' are empty.

Remark. By definition $(\tilde\Omega^\bullet_{X\langle D\rangle}, F)$ is essentially same as the filtered complex of Du Bois in [6]. See also [9].

3.4. *Example.* Assume X is smooth, D, Z are divisors on X such that $D\cup Z$ is a divisor with normal crossings, $\dim D\cap Z < \dim X$, and the irreducible components Z_j of Z are smooth. Let $X^{(0)} = X$, and $X^{(i)}$ be the disjoint union of $\bigcap_{1\le k\le i} Z_{j_k}$ for $j_1 < \cdots < j_i$. We denote the natural inclusions by $a_i : X^{(i)} \to X$. Put $D^{(i)} = a_i^{-1}(D)$. Then the complex $X \leftarrow Z$ is A-quasi-isomorphic to the complex $X^{(0)} \leftarrow X^{(1)} \leftarrow X^{(2)} \leftarrow \cdots$ over $X\langle D\rangle$. So $\tilde\Omega^\bullet_{X\langle D\rangle,Z}$ is represented by

$$\Omega^\bullet_{X^{(0)}}(\log D^{(0)}) \to (a_1)_*\Omega^\bullet_{X^{(1)}}(\log D^{(1)}) \to (a_2)_*\Omega^\bullet_{X^{(2)}}(\log D^{(2)}) \to \cdots,$$

where F, W on $\Omega^\bullet_{X^{(i)}}(\log D^{(i)})$ are given by σ and $W[-i]$ in [4] (where $(W[-i])_k = W_{i+k}$). Let

$$(j^{\mathcal{D}}_* j^* j'^{\mathcal{D}}_! j'^* \mathcal{O}_X, F, W)$$

denote the underlying filtered $\mathcal{D}_X$-Module of the mixed Hodge Module $j_*j^*j'_!j'^*A^H_X$, where $j : X\backslash D \to X$ and $j' : X\backslash Z \to X$ denote natural inclusions. Then we have a natural isomorphism in $D^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X,\mathcal{D};W)$:

$$(j^{\mathcal{D}}_* j^* j'^{\mathcal{D}}_! j'^* \mathcal{O}_X, F, W) = \mathrm{DR}_X^{-1}(\tilde\Omega^\bullet_{X\langle D\rangle,Z}, F, W)[\dim X]. \tag{3.4.1}$$

(Here the filtration W on the right-hand side is shifted by $\dim X$ due to the shift of complex by $\dim X$, and $W' = W$ on the left-hand side, because $j^{\mathcal{D}}_* j^* j'^{\mathcal{D}}_! j'^* \mathcal{O}_X$ is a $\mathcal{D}_X$-Module.)

For the proof of (3.4.1), we may assume X is a complex manifold by GAGA. Then we have locally $X = X_1 \times X_2$ such that $D = D_1 \times X_2$, $Z = X_1 \times D_2$, where D_1, D_2 are divisors with normal crossings on X_1, X_2. We have a bifiltered isomorphism

$$(j^{\mathcal{D}}_* j^* j'^{\mathcal{D}}_! j'^* \mathcal{O}_X, F, W) = ((j_1)^{\mathcal{D}}_* j_1^* \mathcal{O}_{X_1}, F, W) \boxtimes ((j_2)^{\mathcal{D}}_! j_2^* \mathcal{O}_{X_2}, F, W),$$

using the functorial morphisms $id \to j_*j^*$, $j'_!j'^* \to id$. We have a similar decomposition on the right-hand side of (3.4.1). So the assertion is reduced to the case either D or Z is empty. Then the assertion follows from (v) of (1.4) forgetting the filtration W. But the compatibility with W is easy, because the weight filtration W of $j'_!j'^*\mathbb{C}_X[\dim X]$ in the abelian category of perverse sheaves is uniquely characterized by the property :

$$\mathrm{Gr}^W_{\dim X-k}(j'_!j'^*\mathbb{C}_X[\dim X]) = (a_k)_*\mathbb{C}_{X^{(k)}}[\dim X-k].$$

4. Smooth Affine Stratification

We prove (0.2) by using a good representative of the mixed Hodge Module A^H_X associated with a smooth affine stratification of X.

4.1. Proposition. *Let X be a complex algebraic variety of dimension n, and $\mathcal{S} = \{S_i\}$ a stratification of X such that each stratum S_i is smooth and affine. Let $j_i : S_i \to X$ denote natural inclusions. Then we have a complex $C^\bullet_{\mathcal{S}}A^H$ in $C^b\mathrm{MHM}(X,A)$ such that*

$$C^k_{\mathcal{S}}A^H = \bigoplus_{\dim S_i = k} (j_i)_!A^H_{S_i}[k], \tag{4.1.1}$$

and the image of $C^\bullet_{\mathcal{S}}A^H$ in $D^b\mathrm{MHM}(X,A)$ is naturally isomorphic to A^H_X.

Proof. We first note that (4.1.1) implies for any $M \in \mathrm{MHM}(X,A)$, a natural morphism $C^n_{\mathcal{S}}A^H \to C^\bullet_{\mathcal{S}}A^H[n]$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}(C^\bullet_{\mathcal{S}}A^H[n], M) = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Hom}(C^n_{\mathcal{S}}A^H, M) \to \mathrm{Hom}(C^{n-1}_{\mathcal{S}}A^H, M)).$$

Here Hom on the left-hand (resp. right-hand) side is taken in $D^b\mathrm{MHM}(X,A)$ (resp. $\mathrm{MHM}(X,A)$), and the morphism in the right-hand side is induced by the differential of $C^\bullet_{\mathcal{S}}A^H$.

We proceed by induction on $n = \dim X$. Let $Y = \bigcup_{\dim S_i<n} S_i$, and $U = X\backslash Y$ with natural inclusions $i : Y \to X, j : U \to X$. Then we have a distinguished triangle in $D^b\mathrm{MHM}(X,A)$

$$\to i_*A^H_Y[n-1] \to j_!A^H_U[n] \to A^H_X[n] \to, \tag{4.1.2}$$

and the assertion follows from the inductive hypothesis. Note that the isomorphism $A^H_X = C^\bullet_{\mathcal{S}}A^H$ is uniquely determined by the restriction to a dense open subvariety of X, because

$$\mathrm{End}(A^H_X) = \mathrm{Hom}(A^H_{pt}, (a_X)_*A^H_X) = A,$$

if X is connected (where $a_X : X \to pt$ is a natural morphism).

4.2. Theorem. *Let X be a complex algebraic variety, and D, D' closed subvarieties with natural inclusions $j : X\backslash D \to X$, $j' : X\backslash D' \to X$. Then we have a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{DR}_X^{-1}(\mathcal{C}^H_{X\langle D\rangle ,D'}A) = \varepsilon(j_*j^*j'_!j'^*A^H_X) \quad \textit{in } D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}. \tag{4.2.1}$$

Proof. By (2.8) the assertion is reduced to the case where D, D' are locally principal divisors (using the blow-up of X along D and D') so that $j_*, j'_!$ preserve perverse sheaves. Let $\{S_i\}$ be as in (4.1). Here we may assume that D' is a union of strata. Let

$$X^j = \bigcup_{\dim S_i \le j} S_i \bigcup D'$$

for $-1 \le j \le n$. By the same argument as (3.2) we have A-quasi-isomorphisms $Y^{j,\bullet} \to X^j$ over $X^j\langle X^j \cap D\rangle$ together with morphisms $Y^{j-1,\bullet} \to Y^{j,\bullet}$ such that $Y^{j,\bullet}$ is nonsingular over $X\langle D\rangle$, and we have a commutative diagram in $C^b(\mathcal{V}(X\langle D\rangle, A))$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y^{j,\bullet} \arrow[d] & Y^{j-1,\bullet} \arrow[l] \arrow[d] \\
X^j & X^{j-1}. \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Using $Y^{j,\bullet}$ as in (3.3), we define

$$K^j = \mathrm{DR}_X^{-1}(i_j)_*\mathcal{C}^H_{X^j\langle D\cap X^j\rangle}A \quad \text{in } C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}$$

so that we have natural morphisms $r_j : K^j \to K^{j-1}$ in $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}$. Here $i_j : X^j \to X$ denotes a natural inclusion. We consider a morphism of $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X,A)_{\mathcal{D}}$:

$$\bigoplus_{0\le j\le n} K^j \to \bigoplus_{-1\le j<n} K^j \tag{4.2.2}$$

whose restriction to K^j is r_n for $j = n$, and $r_j \oplus (-id)$ for $0 \le j < n$, where $n = \dim X$. Let K be its shifted mapping cone (i.e., the degree of the first term is zero). Then K is isomorphic to the shifted mapping cone of $K^n \to K^{-1}$ so that

$$K = \mathrm{DR}_X^{-1}(\mathcal{C}^H_{X\langle D\rangle ,D'}A).$$

We have a filtration G on K such that G^i is the shifted mapping cone of

$$\bigoplus_{i\le j\le n} K^j \to \bigoplus_{i-1\le j<n} K^j$$

for $i \ge 0$. Let $\mathcal{S}' = \{S_i : S_i \subset X\backslash D'\}$ so that $\mathcal{S}'$ is a stratification of $X\backslash D'$. Then $\mathrm{Gr}^i_G K$ is the shifted mapping cone of $r_i : K^i \to K^{i-1}$ which corresponds to $j_*j^*j'_!C^i_{\mathcal{S}'}A^H[-i]$. So

G is similar to the filtration G in the proof of (2.8), and we have weak quasi-isomorphisms like (2.8.3). Furthermore

$$\mathrm{Gr}_G^i((\mathrm{Dec}\, G)^0K/(\mathrm{Dec}^*G)^1K))[i]$$

is isomorphic to $\varepsilon(j_*j^*j'_!C^i_{S'}A^H)$. See(2.9). In fact, the last assertion is reduced to the case where the closure $\overline{S}_i$ of S_i is smooth and $\overline{S}_i\backslash S_i$ is a divisor with normal crossings by using (2.8). Then it follows from (3.4). This finishes the proof of (4.2).

4.3. Corollary. *With the notation of (4.2), the two mixed Hodge structures on* $H^i(X\backslash D, D'\backslash D'\cap D)$ *by [4] and [15] coincide.*

5. Du Bois Singularity

We study Du Bois singularity, and give some application of (0.3).

5.1. Let X be a reduced complex algebraic variety. Let $\pi' : X' \to X$ be the normalization of X. Put $X'' = (X' \times_X X')_{\mathrm{red}}$ with the natural projections $\pi'' : X'' \to X$ and $p_a : X'' \to X'$ $(a = 1, 2)$. Let

$$\mathcal{O}_X^{wn} = \mathrm{Ker}(p_1^* - p_2^* : \pi'_*\mathcal{O}_{X'} \to \pi''_*\mathcal{O}_{X''}). \tag{5.1.1}$$

Then $\mathcal{O}_X^{wn}$ is a coherent sheaf of algebras, and we define $X^{wn} = \mathrm{Spec}_X\mathcal{O}_X^{wn}$. The natural morphism $X^{wn} \to X$ induces a bijection of the underlying sets, because $\mathcal{O}_X^{wn}$ is identified with the sheaf of continuous functions on $X(\mathbb{C})$ whose pull-backs to X' come from $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$. We call $\mathcal{O}_X^{wn}$, X^{wn} the *weak normalization* of $\mathcal{O}_X$, X. We say that X is *weakly normal* if $\mathcal{O}_X^{wn} = \mathcal{O}_X$.

Remarks. (i) For $x \in X$, the analytic-local irreducible components of X at x corresponds to $\pi'^{-1}(x)$. In particular, the weak normalization coincides with the normalization if X is analytic-locally irreducible at every point.

(ii) Let $X_1, \dots, X_r$ be reduced closed subvarieties of X. Put $X_{i,j} = (X_i \cap X_j)_{\mathrm{red}}$ for $i < j$. We say that $X_1, \dots, X_r$ are *relatively vertical*, if

$$\mathcal{O}_X \to \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}_{X_i} \to \bigoplus_{i<j} \mathcal{O}_{X_{i,j}} \tag{5.1.2}$$

is exact, where the last morphism is given by the Cech morphism. We see that if $X_1, \dots, X_{r-1}$ are relatively vertical, then $X_1, \dots, X_r$ are relatively vertical if and only if $\bigcup_{1\le i<r} X_i$ and X_r are relatively vertical.

(iii) Let $X_0 = \bigcup_{1\le i\le r} X_i$. Then we see :

(a) If X_0 is weakly normal, $X_1, \dots, X_r$ are relatively vertical.

Assume $r = 2$. Then :

(b) If X_0, $X_{1,2}$ are weakly normal, so are X_1, X_2.

(c) If X_1, X_2, $X_{1,2}$ are weakly normal and X_1, X_2 are relatively vertical, then X_0 is weakly normal.

(iv) In the isolated singularity case, (iii) implies that a variety with isolated singularities is weakly normal, if and only if so are any étale-local irreducible components and these are relatively vertical. (Note that the normalization and the weak normalization are compatible with étale base changes.) In the one-dimensional case, this means that X is weakly normal if and only if it is étale-locally isomorphic to $\bigcup_j \{x_i = 0\,(i \ne j)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$.

5.2. Proposition. *Let X be a reduced complex algebraic variety, and (M, F) the underlying filtered $\mathcal{D}$-Module of the mixed Hodge Module A_X^H in [15]. Then with the notation of (1.3), we have a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{H}^0 \mathrm{Gr}_0^F \mathrm{DR}_X(M) = \mathcal{O}_X^{wn}.$$

Proof. Let $a_\bullet : X_\bullet \to X$ be a simplicial resolution (or a cubic resolution). By (0.3) it is enough to show

$$\mathcal{H}^0 \mathbf{R}(a_\bullet)_* \mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet} = \mathcal{O}_X^{wn}. \tag{5.2.1}$$

We have the spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = R^q(a_p)_* \mathcal{O}_{X_p} \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{p+q} \mathbf{R}(a_\bullet)_* \mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet},$$

which implies $\mathcal{H}^0 \mathbf{R}(a_\bullet)_* \mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet} = \mathrm{Ker}((a_0)_* \mathcal{O}_{X_0} \to (a_1)_* \mathcal{O}_{X_1})$. So we get a natural morphism

$$\mathcal{H}^0 \mathbf{R}(a_\bullet)_* \mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet} \to \pi'_* \mathcal{O}_{X'} \tag{5.2.2}$$

in the notation of (3.1), because $\pi'_* \mathcal{O}_{X'} = \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ if $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ is a desingularization. We see that (5.2.2) is injective and the image is $\mathcal{O}_X^{wn}$ by restricting $a_\bullet : X_\bullet \to X$ over a point of X, because $a_\bullet : X_\bullet \to X$ gives a resolution of a constant sheaf. So we get the assertion.

Remarks. (i) By (0.3) and (5.2) X is Du Bois (see the introduction for the definition), if and only if X is weakly normal and $\mathcal{H}^i \mathbf{R}(a_\bullet)_* \mathcal{O}_{X_\bullet} = 0$ (or, equivalently, $\mathcal{H}^i \mathrm{Gr}_0^F \mathrm{DR}_X(M) = 0$) for $i > 0$. Note that X has (at most) rational singularities, if and only if X is normal and $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = 0$ for $i > 0$, where $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ is a desingularization.

(ii) Assume X is a hypersurface. Let f be a local reduced defining equation of X, and $b_f(s)$ the b-function (i.e. the Bernstein polynomial) of f. Then X has rational (resp. Du Bois) singularity if and only if the roots of $b_f(s)/(s+1)$ are less than (resp. less than or equal to) -1. See [17, (0.4)] and [18, (0.5)].

(iii) With the notation of Remark (iii) after (5.1) assume $r = 2$. Then we can easily verify :

(a) If X_0, $X_{1,2}$ are Du Bois, so are X_1, X_2.

(b) If X_1, X_2, $X_{1,2}$ are Du Bois and X_1, X_2 are relatively vertical, then X_0 is Du Bois.

In the isolated singularity case, these imply that a variety with isolated singularities is Du Bois, if and only if so are any étale-local irreducible components and these are relatively vertical. In the one-dimensional case, this means that X is Du Bois if and only if X is weakly normal. See Remark (iv) after (5.1).

5.3. Proposition. *Let* $(\mathbb{D}M, F)$ *denote the underlying filtered* $\mathcal{D}$*-Module of* $\mathbb{D}A_X^H$ *(the dual of* A_X^H*), and* K_X *the dualizing complex for* $\mathcal{O}$*-Modules. Then we have unique morphisms in* $D^b(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ *:*

$$\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathrm{Gr}_0^F \mathrm{DR}_X(M), \quad \mathrm{Gr}_0^F \mathrm{DR}_X(\mathbb{D}M) \to K_X, \tag{5.3.1}$$

whose restrictions to the smooth part of X *are natural isomorphisms.*

Proof. The assertion on the first morphism is clear by (5.2). For the second, we have by the duality (see [14, §2]) :

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_0^F \mathrm{DR}_X(M)) = \mathrm{Gr}_0^F \mathrm{DR}_X(\mathbb{D}M) \quad \text{in } D^b(\mathcal{O}_X), \tag{5.3.2}$$

if X is embeddable into a smooth variety. Let $X = \bigcup_{1 \le i \le r} U_i$ be an open covering of X such that U_i are embeddable into smooth varieties. Put $U_I = \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$ for $I \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$. Let $M' = \mathrm{Gr}_0^F \mathrm{DR}_X(\mathbb{D}M)$. Then we have a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{|I|=p+1} \mathrm{Hom}_{D^+(U_I)}(M'|_{U_I}, K_{U_I}[q]) \Rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{D^+(X)}(M', K_X[p+q]),$$

taking an injective resolution of K_X and using the Cech complex associated with $\{U_i\}$. Since $E_1^{p,q} = 0$ for $p < 0$ by the duality together with (0.3) and (5.3.2), the second morphism of (5.3.1) is locally defined. So the assertion follows from (5.3.2).

Remarks. (i) One of the morphisms of (5.3.1) is an isomorphism if and only if X is Du Bois.

(ii) If X is Du Bois and $\mathbb{Q}_X[\dim X]$ is a perverse sheaf , then X is Cohen-Macaulay. This is because the filtration F on $(\mathbb{D}M)_{U \to V}$ is strict. See (iii) of (1.4).

5.4. Theorem. *A rational singularity is Du Bois*

Proof. Assume X has (at most) rational singularities. Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ be a desingularization. By (0.3), we have a natural morphism

$$\mathrm{Gr}_0^F \mathrm{DR}_X(M) \to \mathbf{R}\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$$

whose composition with the first morphism of (5.3.1) is a natural morphism $\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathbf{R}\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$. So $\mathcal{O}_X$ is a direct factor of $\mathrm{Gr}_0^F \mathrm{DR}_X(M)$ because X has only rational singularities. Assume the singularity of X is not Du Bois. Then $\mathrm{Gr}_0^F \mathrm{DR}_X(M)$ has a nontrivial direct factor in $D^b(\mathcal{O}_X)$ whose (cohomological) support is contained in $\mathrm{Sing}\, X$.

Since the assertion is local, we may assume that X is a closed subvariety of a smooth variety Y. Let $(\mathbb{D}M, F)$ be as in (5.3). To simplify the notation, we denote also by (M, F), $(\mathbb{D}M, F)$ the filtered $\mathcal{D}_Y$-Modules representing them for $\{X \to Y\}$. Then $(\mathbb{D}M, F)$ is really the dual of (M, F) as filtered $\mathcal{D}_Y$-Module, and (5.3.2) becomes

$$(5.4.1) \qquad \mathbb{D}\mathrm{Gr}_0^F \mathrm{DR}_Y(M) = \mathrm{Gr}_0^F \mathrm{DR}_Y(\mathbb{D}M) = F_0(\mathbb{D}M) \quad \text{in } D^b(\mathcal{O}_Y),$$

where the last isomorphism follows from $\mathrm{Gr}_p^F(\mathbb{D}M) = \mathrm{Gr}_p^F \mathrm{DR}_Y(\mathbb{D}M) = 0$ for $p < 0$. (The last vanishing follows from (0.3) and (5.3.2).)

So the assumption implies that $F_0(\mathbb{D}M)$ has a nontrivial direct factor N in $D^b(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ whose (cohomological) support is contained in $\mathrm{Sing}\, X$. Then we have a morphism of $\mathcal{D}_Y$-Modules

$$(5.4.2) \qquad N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{D}_Y \to \mathbb{D}M$$

such that $\mathcal{H}^i N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{D}_Y \to \mathcal{H}^i(\mathbb{D}M)$ is nontrivial if $\mathcal{H}^i N \neq 0$, because $\mathcal{H}^i F_0(\mathbb{D}M) \to \mathcal{H}^i(\mathbb{D}M)$ is injective by the strictness of the Hodge filtration. See (iii) of (1.4).

Let $Z = \bigcup_i \mathrm{Supp}\, \mathcal{H}^i N$. We may assume that Z is smooth with pure dimension $m < \dim X$, and also $\mathrm{Supp}\, \mathcal{H}^i N = Z$ or $\emptyset$ by deleting a proper closed subvariety of Z from X. Let

$$M' = \mathbf{R}\Gamma_Z \mathbb{D}M.$$

Then (5.4.2) is the composition of $N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{D}_Y \to M' \to \mathbb{D}M$. Furthermore M' underlies the dual of A_Z^H so that $\mathcal{H}^i M' = 0$ for $i \neq -m$. So we get

$$\mathcal{H}^{-m}(\mathbb{D}M) \neq 0$$

by the property after (5.4.2). Then, passing to the corresponding complex of $\mathbb{C}_{Y^{\mathrm{an}}}$-Modules via the functor DR_Y (and using the duality), we get

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^m \mathbb{C}_{X^{\mathrm{an}}} \neq 0.$$

But this contradicts to Remark below by restricting to a general smooth subvariety transversal to Z (where the shift of index by m comes from ${}^p\mathcal{H}^m L = L[m]$ for a local system L on Z^{an}).

Remark. For a connected complex algebraic variety of $\dim X \geq 1$, we have

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^0 \mathbb{C}_{X^{\mathrm{an}}} = 0.$$

In fact, let D be a closed subvariety of X such that $U = X \setminus D$ is smooth affine and pure dimensional. Let $j : U \to X$ denote a natural inclusion. Then we have a distinguished triangle in $D_c^b(X^{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{C})$

$$\to j_! \mathbb{C}_{U^{\mathrm{an}}} \to \mathbb{C}_{X^{\mathrm{an}}} \to \mathbb{C}_{Z^{\mathrm{an}}} \to$$

with ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i j_!\mathbb{C}_U = 0$ for $i \neq \dim U$. So the assertion is reduced to the case $\dim X = 1$ by induction. Then $\mathbb{C}_{X^{\mathrm{an}}}[1] \in \mathrm{Perv}(X^{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{C})$ by the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{C}_{X^{\mathrm{an}}} \to \pi_*\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{X}^{\mathrm{an}}} \to E \to 0,$$

where $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ is the normalization, and $\mathrm{Supp}\, E \subset \mathrm{Sing}\, X$. (The assertion follows also from $H^i_{\{0\}}\mathbb{C}_{X^{\mathrm{an}}} = 0$ for $i \le 0$.)

Table of notations

1.1 $\mathrm{Diff}^n_X(L, L')$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Diff}}((L, F), (L', F))$, $MF(\mathcal{D}_X)$,
  $MF(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff})$, $C^*F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff})$, $K^*F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff})$, $D^*F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff})$,
  $MF(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}; W)$, $C^*F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}; W)$, $K^*F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}; W)$,
  $D^*F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}; W)$, $D^*_{\mathrm{coh}}F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}; W)$, $D^*_{\mathrm{coh}}F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff})$,
  $\mathrm{DR}_X^{-1} : MF(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}) \to MF(\mathcal{D}_X)$,
1.2 $\mathbf{R}f_* : D^+F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}) \to D^+F(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathrm{Diff})$,
1.3 $LE(X)$, $MF(\mathcal{D}_V)_U$, $MF(X, \mathcal{D})$, $MF(X, \mathcal{D}; W)$,
  $C^*F(X, \mathcal{D})$, $C^*F(X, \mathcal{D}; W)$, $D^*F(X, \mathcal{D})$, $D^*F(X, \mathcal{D}; W)$,
  $M(X, \mathcal{D})$, $D^*(X, \mathcal{D})$, $D^*(X, \mathcal{D}; W)$,
  $D^*_{\mathrm{coh}}F(X, \mathcal{D}; W)$, $D^*_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X, \mathcal{D}; W)$, $D^*_{\mathrm{coh}}F(X, \mathcal{D})$, $D^*_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X, \mathcal{D})$,
  $M_{\mathrm{hol}}(X, \mathcal{D})$, $D^*_{\mathrm{hol}}(X, \mathcal{D})$, $D^*_{\mathrm{hol}}(X, \mathcal{D}; W)$,
  $D^*_c(X, \mathbb{C})$, $\mathrm{Perv}(X, \mathbb{C})$,
  $\mathrm{DR}_X : D^*_{\mathrm{hol}}(X, \mathcal{D}) \to D^*_c(X, \mathbb{C})$, $\mathrm{DR}_X : M_{\mathrm{hol}}(X, \mathcal{D}) \to \mathrm{Perv}(X, \mathbb{C})$,
  $\mathrm{Gr}^F_p \mathrm{DR}_X : D^*_{\mathrm{coh}}F(X, \mathcal{D}) \to D^*_{\mathrm{coh}}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$,
1.4 $MF_{\mathrm{hol}}(X, \mathcal{D}, A; W)$, $MF_{\mathrm{hol}}(X, \mathcal{D}, A)$,
  $\mathrm{MH}(X, A, n)$, $\mathrm{MHW}(X, A)$, $\mathrm{MHM}(X, A)$,
2.1 $C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}, A; W)$, $C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X, \mathcal{D}, A; W)$, $C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}, A)$,
  $C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X, \mathcal{D}, A)$, $\mathrm{Perv}(X, \mathbb{C}; W)$,
  $\mathrm{DR}_X^{-1} : C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}, A; W) \to C^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X, \mathcal{D}, A; W)$,
2.2 $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A, n)_{\mathcal{D}}$, $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)_{\mathcal{D}}$, $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)$,
  $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A, n)_{\mathcal{D}}$, $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)_{\mathcal{D}}$, $K^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)$,
  $\mathcal{D}^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A, n)_{\mathcal{D}}$, $K^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X, \mathcal{D}, A)$, $D^b_{\mathrm{hol}}F(X, \mathcal{D}, A)$,
2.4 $D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)$, $D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)_{\mathcal{D}}$,
  $\mathrm{DR}_X^{-1} : D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A) \to D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)_{\mathcal{D}}$,
2.5 $D^b\mathrm{MHM}(X, A)$, $C^b\mathrm{MHM}(X, A)$, $C^b_{\mathrm{MHM}}(X, A)$,
  $C^*_c(X, \Lambda; G, W')$, $K^*_c(X, \Lambda; G, W')$, $D^*_c(X, \Lambda; G, W')$,
  $K^{+,b}_c(X, \Lambda; G, W')_{\mathrm{inj}}$, $MF_{\mathrm{hol}}(X, \mathcal{D}; W')$, $K^{+,b}_{\mathrm{hol}}(X, \mathcal{D}, A; G, W')$,
  $D^b_c(X, \Lambda; G_{\text{bête}}, W')$, $C^b\mathrm{Perv}(X, \Lambda; W')$,
2.7 $\varepsilon : D^b\mathrm{MHM}(X, A) \to D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)_{\mathcal{D}}$,
2.8 $f_* : D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A) \to D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(Y, A)$, $f_* : D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A)_{\mathcal{D}} \to D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(Y, A)_{\mathcal{D}}$,
2.9 $C^b_{\mathcal{H}}(X, A; G)_{\mathcal{D}}$, $\mathrm{Dec}\, G$, $\mathrm{Dec}^* G$,
A.1 $F^c_n(\mathcal{A})$,
A.2 $F(\mathcal{C})$, $\tilde{F}(\mathcal{A})$,

A.3 $\operatorname{Dec} G$, $\operatorname{Dec}^* G$,
A.4 $C^*(\mathcal{A}; G, W)$, $K^*(\mathcal{A}; G, W)$, $D^*(\mathcal{A}; G, W)$,
$C^*(\mathcal{A}; W)$, $K^*(\mathcal{A}; W)$, $D^*(\mathcal{A}; W)$, $D^b(\mathcal{A}; G_{\text{bête}}, W)$,
3.1 $\mathcal{V}_k$, $\mathcal{V}_k^A$, $X_{\mathcal{B}}$, $\mathcal{V}_k^A(X_{\mathcal{B}})$, $C^*(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X_{\mathcal{B}}))$, $K^*(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X_{\mathcal{B}}))$,
$\mathcal{V}_k^A(X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}})^{ns}$, $C^*(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}})^{ns})$, $K^*(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}})^{ns})$,
$D^*(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}}))$, $D^*(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{B}})^{ns})$,
$\iota^A : \mathcal{V}_k \to \mathcal{V}_k^A$,
$\mathbf{R}\pi_{b,*}^A : K^+(\mathcal{V}_k^A(X_{\mathcal{B}})) \to K^+(X_b^{\text{an}}, A)$,
3.3 $\mathcal{C}^H_{X\langle D\rangle}A$, $\tilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{X\langle D\rangle}$, $\tilde{A}_{X\langle D\rangle}$, $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_{X\langle D\rangle}$,
4.1 $C^\bullet_{\mathcal{S}}A^H$,
5.1 $\mathcal{O}_X^{wn}$, X^{wn},
5.3 K_X,

References

[1] Beilinson, A, Notes on absolute Hodge cohomology, Contemporary Math. 55 (1986) 35–68.

[2] Beilinson, A., Bernstein, J. and Deligne, P., Faisceaux pervers, Astérisque, vol. 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.

[3] Calrson, J., Extensions of mixed Hodge structures, in Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d'Angers 1979, Sijthoff-Noordhoff Alphen a/d Rijn, 1980, pp. 107–128.

[4] Deligne, P., Théorie de Hodge I, Actes Congrès Intern. Math. I (1970), 425–430; II, Publ. Math. IHES, 40 (1971), 5–58; III, ibid. 44 (1974), 5–77.

[5] ———, Décompositions dans la catégorie dérivée, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., 55 (1994), Part 1, 115–128.

[6] Du Bois, Ph, Complexe de de Rham filtré d'une variété singulière, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 109 (1981), 41–81.

[7] Grothendieck, A and Dieudonné, J., Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique, Publ. Math. IHES 32 (1967).

[8] Grauert, H. and Riemenschneider, O., Verschwindungssätze für analytische Kohomologiegruppen auf Komplexen Räumen, Inv. Math. 11 (1970), 263–292.

[9] Guillén, F., Navarro Aznar, V., Pascual-Gainza, P. and Puerta, F., Hyperrésolutions cubiques et descente cohomologique, Lect. Notes in Math., Springer, Berlin, vol. 1335, 1988.

[10] Kollár, J., Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves, I, II, Ann. of Math. 123 (1986), 11–42; 124 (1986), 171–202.

[11] ———, Shafarevich maps and automorphic forms, Princeton Univ. Press, 1995.

[12] Kovács, S., Rational, log canonical, Du Bois singularities : On the conjectures of Kollár and Steenbrink, preprint.

[13] Morgan, J., The algebraic topology of smooth algebraic varieties, Publ. IHES 48 (1978), 137–204.

[14] Saito, M., Modules de Hodge polarisables, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., 24 (1988), 849–995.

[15] ———, Mixed Hodge Modules, Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. 26 (1990), 221–333.
[16] ———, On Kollár's conjecture, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 52 (1991), Part 2, 509–517.
[17] ———, On b-function, spectrum and rational singularity, Math. Ann. 295 (1993), 51–74.
[18] ———, On the Hodge filtration of Hodge Modules, preprint RIMS-1078, May 1996.
[19] ———, Induced D-Modules and differential complexes, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 117, (1989), 361–387.
[20] Steenbrink, J., Mixed Hodge structures associated with isolated singularities, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 40 (1983) Part 2, 513–536.
[21] Verdier, J.L., Catégories dérivées, in SGA 4 1/2, Lect. Notes in Math., Springer, Berlin, vol. 569, 1977 , pp. 262–311.